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Dear Colleagues,

This autumn (in the Northern Hemisphere) has been very busy indeed for the Publications and Communications Sub-Committee, with this issue of the online Newsheet, the triennial printed Newsletter and the printed Members’ List being prepared for publication at the same time. A combination of factors caused an exceptionally long delay in your contributions for the printed Newsletter being received on time by the Sub-Committee, which has resulted in its later than usual publication. Under the circumstances, we hope, and are doing our best, to have the printed Newsletter in the post to you, in late April or May. For the same reason, this Newsheet is reaching you a week later than planned. As you may know, the next online Newsheet will be published in a year’s time, as the spring 2016 issue will be replaced by the printed Newsletter.

As always, the contributions to our Newsheets bring colour and diversity in thinking and imagery. The trans-continental area we cover, I hope, conveys the ever-widening territory of blossoming and developing interest in Analytical Psychology. In the Society and Developing Group profiles, we visit Asia (Japan), USA (Chicago) and Europe (Romania); the Newsheet interview is with the IAAP President Elect, Marianne Müller (Switzerland); the Jung Journal is from China and our guest artist, Penelope Dinsmore, is from San Francisco.

I would also like to draw your attention to the article by Misser Berg and Angela Connolly on Affiliate Candidates training. This is a new IAAP initiative in response to the ever-growing interest in Jung in different parts of the world. It offers the opportunity for active and creative engagement by the established IAAP training societies in building the training societies of the future.

Finally, as this issue is the last one before the XX IAAP Congress in Kyoto, to those of you who are planning to come to the Congress, I wish safe travels! The preliminary program, emailed to all of us last week, shows that we are in for a richly rewarding week among colleagues and friends.

Warm regards,

Emilija Kiehl
Editor
FROM THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

TRAINING AS AFFILIATE CANDIDATE THROUGH THE IAAP

Misser Berg

At its last meeting in February of this year the Executive Committee of the IAAP unanimously agreed to implement a new kind of partnership between the IAAP and an already existing IAAP Group Member with Training Status, here named “the Partnering GM”, with the aim of training individuals, who in this context are called Affiliate Candidates, to become Jungian Analysts in areas where there is no established IAAP Training.

This initiative reflects the interest and desire that has grown among IAAP Group Members with Training Status to take on the responsibility for such training. The aim is to draw on the experience of already existing Jungian training institutions to share their knowledge – not only of how to teach but also of how to organize a training institution.

The Affiliate Candidate Training has grown out of the already established Router Training, which for has many years successfully made, and continues to make it possible for many people from areas all over the world, to become Jungian Analysts and eventually to form new IAAP Group Members. The Router Training is organized by the Education Committee and overviewed by the Executive Committee of the IAAP who has the over-all responsibility for the quality of the training. This is a highly demanding task and both the Education Committee and the Executive Committee have welcomed the willingness of those Group Members who have committed themselves to enter the partnership around the Affiliate Candidate Training.

The Organization of the Affiliate Candidate Training

There are three parties in this cooperation: 1) the IAAP, 2) the Partnering GM, and 3) a local Developing Group (DG). The cooperation is temporary and continues until there are enough IAAP members in the local DG to form an IAAP GM with Training Status. Necessary agreements of the cooperation must be agreed upon in writing from the outset.
The roles of the three parties are the following:

1) The IAAP will have the over-all responsibility to assure the quality of the training, and all qualified Affiliate Candidates must be voted into the IAAP at the triennial Meeting of Delegates.

2) The Partnering GM will be directly involved in the training and will provide analysis, teaching and supervision. Together with the IAAP, the Partnering GM will take part in evaluation processes throughout the training, including screening interviews, intermediate exams and final exams.

3) The local DG will be responsible for running the day-to-day organization and, depending on the status of the members of the DG (if they are qualified Jungian Analysts e.g. Individual Members of the IAAP) their members will also take part in the teaching, supervision and personal analysis of the Affiliate Candidates.

A Liaison Person (LP) is jointly appointed by the IAAP and the partnering GM. The LP is responsible for the overview of the training of the Affiliate Candidates and will collect annual reports and together with the IAAP and the Partnering GM the LP will organize the evaluation processes throughout the training.

The Evaluation Processes in the Affiliate Candidate Training

Like for the Router Training the IAAP is involved in three steps in the evaluation:

1) The Screening Interview
2) The Intermediate Exam
3) The Final Exam

The difference from the Router Training is that in the Affiliate Candidate Training one representative from the IAAP and one from the Partnering GM together will take part in the evaluations.

In addition to the evaluation by the IAAP / the Partnering GM, the Partnering GM in cooperation with the local DG will be responsible for the training of the Affiliate Candidates. The involvement of the IAAP in the three steps above will be to ensure that standards of training have been respected.

Actual Affiliate Candidate Trainings: Malta and Estonia

At the moment Affiliate Candidates Trainings have been agreed upon in two places: Malta and Estonia. In Malta CIPA, Sicily is the Partnering GM who for some years has been teaching the six Maltese Affiliate Candidates. The DG in
Malta does not yet have any IAAP members so all the teaching is organized by CIPA, Sicily.

In Estonia there are by now 4 Individual Members (IM) and one Provisional IM who together with members from The Danish Society for Analytical Psychology (DSAP) organize the forthcoming training, which is planned to start in February 2016. The Estonians expect between 10 – 12 candidates who will apply for a Screening Interview in the autumn of 2016 and hopefully then become Affiliate Candidates.

In addition to Malta and Estonia other GMs are also exploring the possibility of starting an Affiliate Candidate Training in the near future.

**Concluding remarks**

Affiliate Candidate Training has a twofold goal: One aim is to train individuals to become Jungian Analysts and Members of the IAAP; the second aim is that the Partnering GM – via a sort of apprenticeship – can help the members of a local DG to learn how to organize a training program in preparation for their eventual future role as a IAAP GM with Training Status. As it is the over-all aim of the IAAP to facilitate the formation of new GMs by the Individual Members who have gone through either the Router Training or the Affiliate Candidate Training, and eventually facilitate the formation of new GMs with Training Status, such a possibility for a DG to cooperate with an already established IAAP approved training program can be very helpful.

In addition to these goals, the Affiliate Candidate Training has, because of the commitment by the Partnering GM, the advantage of involving IAAP GMs in this work and thereby reducing the work for the IAAP administration.

**Note:** The Affiliate Candidate Training is described in detail in the Handbook for Affiliate Candidates.

**Misser Berg**

**Angela Connolly**

February 2015: Executive Committee discussing the document at the meeting in Kyoto
ASSOCIATION OF JUNGIAN ANALYSTS, JAPAN (AJAJ)

AJAJ was founded by a group of twenty Japanese Jungian Analysts led by Professor Hayao Kawai, the first Jungian analyst in Japan. The Association was ratified as a Constituent Society of the International Association for Analytical Psychology (IAAP) at the August 2001 Congress in Cambridge.

In April 2002, the training institute of AJAJ, the Japan Institute of Jungian Psychology, started its own training programs whose goals are both to disseminate Jungian psychology and to train Jungian analysts by holding seminars, group supervisions, and symposiums in Tokyo and in Kyoto.

The Institute has three statuses of students: candidates, matriculated auditors and registered members, in order not only to train Jungian analysts but also to offer high quality educational programs for psychotherapists. We now have 18 diploma and 6 training candidates, 86 matriculated auditors, and 418 registered members.

We have invited many leading Jungian analysts and scholars as lecturers which gave us the opportunity to communicate with them. This was also an opportunity for us to encounter, so to speak, global standards, which in turn has affected the quality of our training. In addition, since the Winter Semester 2013, we have been offering our seminars and group supervisions held by the English
speaking IAAP analysts visiting Kyoto to the institutes and developing groups in Asian countries.

Since October 2015, three persons have received their diploma in Analytical Psychology and AJAJ now has 36 IAAP members (32 voting members + 4 associate members).

In the course of these developments, the Japan Association of Jungian Psychology (JAJP) was founded in April 2012. AJAJ does not directly govern this association but is its parental body. In close cooperation with AJAJ, this association has now started granting a certification of psychotherapist. Since this is a highly specialized qualification in Jungian psychology, we equate it with his or her passing of the propaedeuticum in our training program for becoming a Jungian analyst. With this, our candidates have finally become able to complete their training within Japan.

At this point, two persons with the qualification of “certified psychotherapist” by JAJP have already entered our training program as diploma candidates. This may prove that the system has started well and, we believe, will work much better in future as part of our training for becoming a Jungian analyst.

Here I would like to switch the subject to the IAAP 2016 Congress in Kyoto whose host is our association. We received about 300 proposals from a great number of analysts across the IAAP societies. We are deeply thankful for your interest and participation.

As you know, Kyoto is an old historical city. As the traditional capital and the center of Japanese culture today, its long history goes back more than 1,200 years. Its origin was the year 794 when the emperor of that time, Kanmu, made Kyoto his capital city. Kyoto’s old name is Heian-kyo. Even today you can encounter the remnants of the ancient capital Heian-kyo in central Kyoto: for example, in the streets or alleys that run east-west and north-south, forming a grid pattern. Kyoto now has 17 Unesco World Heritage sites, more than 1600 Buddhist temples and over 400 Shinto shrines. You can feel “Anima Mundi” fully everywhere you go.

For these reasons, Kyoto is the most popular must-see tourist destination in Japan. The city’s structure is compact, providing convenient public transportation systems, with over 16,000 hotel rooms for accommodating up to 28,000 visitors.
We will soon make available to you the hotel information and recommendations on the websites of AJAJ and IAAP. Meanwhile, you can access the basic travel information on the website of Kyoto Prefecture:

(http://www.pref.kyoto.jp/visitkyoto/en/).

Kyoto is a place of significance in two ways: firstly, as a place deeply associated with Professor Hayao Kawai as mentioned above and secondly as it then became the birthplace of Jungian psychology in Japan.

As a talented teacher as well as scholar, Professor Kawai had been long committed to academic education in universities around Kyoto and worked for successor training. Thus, our association’s office has naturally been based in Kyoto, and we provide training programs both in Kyoto and Tokyo.

In this ancient imperial capital, Kyoto, we can see multifaceted and multi-layered aspects of the East, both historically and culturally. As this city is surrounded by natural guardians: mountains and rivers, it could be said that its culture was developed in a topographically-generated small treasure-box. In it, various kinds of arts and crafts, its own customs and cultural climate have been refined up to today. However, it was not a closed city and you can, therefore, encounter the internationalized “East” that was cultivated by long historical contacts with other Asian countries or developed under the influence of western cultures.

On August 16, the souls of ancestors are being sent back to the other world with the ritual of setting fire, the so called Gozan no Okuribi (Great Bonfire Event) in Kyoto. The Bonfires will be lit on the slopes of five mountains surrounding the city, and the torchlights set on the mountains will make out two Chinese characters, one Buddhist term and two figures. This is an awe-inspiring moment. After that, the heat will go down and humidity will depart, and a breeze predicting the arrival of autumn will be sensed.

The IAAP Congress in 2016 will be held in this transitional stage in the life cycle of the citizens of Kyoto; from the summer for praying to the autumn for harvesting, and starting anew to think of their ancestor souls’ return next year.

Yasuhiro Tanaka
THE C. G. JUNG INSTITUTE OF CHICAGO AND THE CHICAGO SOCIETY OF JUNGIAN ANALYSTS (CSJA)

The C. G. Jung Institute of Chicago and the Chicago Society of Jungian Analysts originated in the work of June and Richard Singer in 1965 as the Analytical Psychology Club of Chicago following their return from training in Zurich. The Club initially met in their Evanston, Illinois, home until more permanent facilities were secured in 1976. Following Richard’s death in 1967, June persuaded her fellow Zurich trained analyst, Arwand Vasavada, to move from India to Chicago and assist her in developing the Club, teaching courses on Jung and providing the first Jungian analysis since Jung’s visit at the request of Fowler McCormick in 1924. In 1972 Tom Kapacinskas returned from training in Zurich and joined the group. Four years later, in 1976, Murray Stein moved to Chicago from Huston, Texas, where he had practiced since 1973. At this point, plans began to develop that would reshape analytic training in the United States.

It was evident to the Chicago group, as well as other analysts, that analytic training geographically outside the range of the major coastal institutes in New York, San Francisco, and Los Angeles would require an organizational structure that would not rely on large concentrations of training analysts in one location. This prompted the Chicago group to meet with Joe Wheelwright, at the time the President of the IAAP, and Werner Engel from New York to begin laying plans for what would become the Inter-Regional Society of Jungian Analysts. The Inter-Regional society was officially formed in 1974 and chartered as a training group by the IAAP in 1977. The initial group of training analysts included James Hall from Huston, Linda Leonard from Denver and William Willeford for Seattle, in addition to the Chicago analysts.

The organizational model of the IRSJA was critical for the development of the Chicago Institute as well as other institutes and groups that have emerged since its founding. Empowered now to conduct formal analytic training the
Chicago group under the leadership of June Singer moved aggressively to grow the local analytic community. Louise Bode, Harvey Honig and Garey Malek were the first trainees to graduate from the Chicago Training program while it was still under the aegis of the Inter-Regional Society. In 1980 the Chicago Society of Jungian Analysts and the C. G. Jung Institute of Chicago was recognized as an independent training group by the IAAP and became the first society to separate from the I-RSJA. Ann Avery, John Van Enwyck, John Giannini, Jo Pasic, Lucile Klein, Jim Wyly, Diane Martin, Lois Kahn and Lee Roloff graduated from the Chicago Institute following the IAAP’s recognition. At about this time June Singer left Chicago to move to Palo Alto, California, where she joined the Institute for Transpersonal Psychology.

As the Institute continued to grow, overall administration became the responsibility of an Executive Director. The first director was Mary Lou Rudd, who was succeeded by Peter Mudd, himself a graduate of the program. Peter continued to serve as Executive Director and as Director of Training for nearly 20 years, eventually stepping down as the Institute underwent further reorganization. During those years the Institute grew in membership and expanded the Analyst Training program as well as its public education program from its base in Evanston Illinois. The Institute hosted a number of major conferences, including the 1992 International Congress of the IAAP.

Beginning in the late 1990s controversy arose regarding the role of the analysts in the governance of the Institute and the institutional balance between the needs of the public program and those of the Analyst Training program. These issues resulted in a decision to divide the functions of the Institute into two entities, the C. G. Jung Institute of Chicago, with membership initially limited to analysts, with a mandate to providing analytic training and other professional training associated with analytical psychology, and the C. G. Jung Center, emphasizing lay membership and providing public education programs and a low fee clinic for individuals seeking Jungian oriented therapy and analysis. As this separation was formalized the building the Institute owned in Evanston was sold and the proceeds were divided equally between the two entities. The Jung Center continued to be located in Evanston, while the Institute moved to the heart of Chicago’s “Loop.” The Institute was now organized around a board of directors with a term-limited president rather than an executive director. The first President of the Institute was Catharine Jones, who served from 2003 to 2007, and was succeeded by George Hogenson, Mary Dougherty, Ashok Bedi, Barbara Friedman and, currently, Stephen Martz.

The Institute has, during the last 15 years, undertaken a number of innovations in training, moving to an analytic training format that allows individuals from outside the immediate Chicago area to join the training program, and developing a program for therapists interested in enlarging their
therapeutic range through a two year program on the fundamentals of analytical psychology. This program is now also beginning to function as an introduction to the analytic process that encourages individuals to undertake training as analysts. The Institute has also begun to exploit technology in ways that enlarge our range of activity. Through a generous grant from Dan and Lucia Lindley, analysts from the Institute are conducting distant learning programs with groups in India under the overall guidance of Ashok Bedi. We believe this can become a model for enlarging participation in the training programs of the IAAP in underserved areas. We are also making available through MP-3 and MP-4 downloads the Institute’s extensive collection of recorded lectures by analysts who have visited the Institute over the years. These resources are accessible through the Institute’s website, www.jungchicago.org.

Continuing the tradition that began with the founding members of the Institute, Chicago analysts remain active within the larger analytic community by way of publication and participation at all levels of the International Association. From the original analysts who founded the Jung Club, the analyst community of the Institute has grown to over 50 analysts, with 15 currently enrolled in the analyst-training program. The Institute is now conducting an active public education program of its own, as well as hosting an annual conference with national and international representation. A decision has recently been made to once again open membership in the Institute to lay members, and we are looking forward to ongoing growth and development. The Institute is now located in the heart of Chicago’s Loop district in the historic Monadnock Building, built in 1893, and known at the time as one of the first “skyscrapers.” The interior of the building has been meticulously restored to its original design, and is now listed on the National Registry of Historic Places. The Institute occupies a suite of offices, including a lecture space, library, sand tray facility and administrative offices, in an environment that reflects this historic legacy, reminiscent of the world in which Jung did his essential work.

Submitted by Catharine Jones and George Hogenson, with assistance from Murray Stein
ROMANIA

A synchronistic meeting took place in 1992 at my very first visit to C. G. Jung Institute, and the invitation by Helene Hoerni-Jung to be a guest at her house. She told me a dream that seemed to point towards Eastern Europe, Romania. A letter simultaneously arrived at the C.G.Jung Institute, given to her by Irene Vetter Luscher’s where a perfectly unknown person writes from Romania asking for support to follow her personal dream, the Jungian training.

Together, Helen Hoerni-Jung and I visited the sacred soul in stone of Jung, The Bollingen, and I discovered a living Jung. She became my true first analyst and I begin this profile of the Romanian Developing Group with this homage to Mrs. Helene Hoerni-Jung, as she is the corner stone on which the Romanian studies and trainings in Jungian analytical psychology were later built.

In 1995, I introduced a course on Jungian Psychology in the curricula at the Bucharest University where I taught. Those students were the first group of Jungian scholars who joined the Romanian Association of Analytical Psychology in December 2000, including Peter Lisievici, Carmen Popescu and me. The first master program entirely dedicated to Jungian studies started in 2002, with the curricula matching the first two years of study at the Jung Institute in Kusnacht.

Over the years, psychologists, physicists, educational psychologists, theologians and philosophers followed the training in analytical psychology. In the beginning we worked on translations of Jungian texts. Today, the entire
Collected Works have been translated by the efforts of professor Dr. Vasile Dem Zamfirescu, psychoanalyst, and Silviu Dragomir, editors of Editura Trei. In 2004, The Romanian Council of Psychologists accredited the training in analytical psychotherapy.

A number of conference papers on Jungian topics, books and PhD research papers have been written by our members. Today, we have analytical psychotherapists and routers with a PhD in psychology, with research based on Jungian topics and the doctoral theses by: Maria Tanase, Carmen Popescu, Lavinia Tanculescu, Camelia Boanca, Crinela Turcu, Irlina Sinica.

Following the initial encounter with IAAP in June 2007, when Joe Cambray and Angela Connolly visited ARPA for the first time to deliver three group-supervision sessions as well as a conference on synchronicity, an authentic connection between IAAP and our group was established and in 2008 we were accepted as the Romanian Developing Group IAAP with an annual budget allocated for us to start training as analysts.

Robert Hinshaw was our first liaison person, and we started to organize a training program under the IAAP support with senior teaching members of IAAP: Dr. Ruth Ammann, Dr. Rosa Napoliello Balfour, Dr. Verena Kast. We studied sandplay as an active imagination procedure in analytical therapy and continued with working with dreams and images, and with training in an Intensive Summer Seminar on sandplay in Bulgaria. One of the kindest gifts of support we received is a number of analytical books from Daimon. During those first years, we also developed a fruitful working alliance in supervision, teaching analysis with Dr. Maria Ellen Chiaia, Dr. Lisa Ravitz, Dr. Arlene Landau and Bradley TePaske.

In 2011, a group of us applied for the screening router interviews and were accepted, so the first group of Romanian routers started. Our liaison person as of 2012, is a good mentor, who spends a lot of time supervising, discussing and teaching, Dr. Yehuda Abramovitch, with the aim of developing a style of analytical practice relevant to the Romanian culture.

Over the years, each member of the original team developed along her/his individual needs and abilities, adding to the group profile more specific knowledge: e. g., analytical perspective of working with adopted children and teenagers (Daniela Ioachimescu and Silvia Alionte); the clinical perspective (Camelia Boanca, Cristina Ionescu and Andrei Chiriac); the neurological perspective emphasized by neurologist Monica Georgescu; Carmen Popescu specialized more on psychosomatic approach; Ema Diaconu, Iulia Feordeanu and Cristian Constantinescu added the practice of communitarian sandwork with Eva Pattis etc. Our elected treasurer, Ileana Efrim worked hard to balance the finances and use the opportunity to subscribe us for The Journal of Analytical Psychology, and keep a balance between personal contributions and the grants from IAAP and FJAP.

Along with the classical Jungian perspective on working with symbolic material which is very suitable for the Romanian culture and customs, we started
to study the developmental perspective and the archetypal-mythological approach. Very fruitful trainings with Dvora Kutchinski who developed more topics related with Erich Neumann's approach to development stages, Dr. Milvia Talamini with psychopathology and psychosomatics, Ruth Ammann on Shadow and Mercurius spirit, and Maria Ellen Chiaia and Rosa Napoliello on Alchemical transformation symbolism.

Our routers participated in 2012 IAAP European Conference at St. Petersburg - a wonderful occasion to meet and discuss with the other routers from Europe, under the coordination of Marianne Mueller. Some routers also participated in another intensive training in sandplay in Poland

Thanks to their active involvement in attending seminars, supervision, and lectures, six routers passed the mid-term exam last year, and are now in the advanced stage of the training. We hope that the very first group of certified Romanian Jungian Analysts will be accepted at the Kyoto Congress. The growing interest in Jungian psychology and the wish to be connected with the IAAP is reflected in of the increase of our routers group: at the beginning of 2008 we had 8 routers and today we have 18 routers at different stages of their training.

With the support of IAAP and FJAP, each year we organize six intensive weekend seminars and at the last weekend of each month we have seminars in Jungian theory and psychopathology, group supervisions and reading seminars. This support made it possible for Maria Ellen Chiaia, Avi Baumann, Jan Wiener and Yehuda Abramovitch to work intensely with us in these seminars in 2014. With the FJAP grant, we were also able to have shuttle analysts, supervision and clinical and theoretical seminars with: Milvia Talamini, Nava Telpaz, Rosa Napoliello, Ruth Ammann.

Individual financial contribution made it possible for all the routers to continue visits to their personal analysts in Italy, Switzerland and Israel; also routers and DG members continued, on a regular basis, supervision and analysis on Skype.

Now at the end of 2015, we have had seminars on Jung's approach of alchemy provided by Dr. Murray Stein, and trainings on clinical topics by Yehuda Abramovitch, Milvia Talamini. Avi Baumann, Nava Telpaz and Ruth Ammann.

It is a very lively group of passionate, flexible and open people, deeply involved in the working and personal development processes. In the analytical relationship we have learned to develop warm and empathic listening ability, the capacity to contain and offer support, and awareness of and use of transference and counter-transference. With the ongoing coordination of our supervisors and invited analysts we are developing our technique, our capacity for understanding symbols and symbolism, and continue our personal development.

Professor Dr. Mihaela Minulescu,
President of Romanian DG and Routers Group
& Romanian Association for Analytical Psychology
We are delighted to welcome Marianne Müller, the future President of IAAP, to a dialogue which will give us an opportunity to get to know her and find out about the path she has followed as she joins the now long line of eminent colleagues who have served our community in this very important and demanding post.

EK: Kyoto Congress marks a very significant event in your professional life as a committed and dedicated member of IAAP. You will be taking over from Tom Kelly the presidency of the Association. How did this trajectory develop for you, how did you first get involved with IAAP?

MM: The first IAAP Congress I attended was 1995 in Zurich. I was living at that time in Indonesia, for family reasons. Participating in this Congress, meeting with many colleagues, reinforced my decision to return to Switzerland and to reopen my clinical practice in Switzerland. This was a big change in my life.

In 2004, at the Congress in Barcelona, the Swiss Society I belong to, SGAP was elected to the Executive Committee (EC) of the IAAP. At that time, I was the President of SGAP and became then the representative of SGAP on the EC, first from 2004 to 2007 and, as the SGAP was reelected, also from 2007 to 2010. At the beginning I didn’t know the IAAP very well. Over the years, I became more and more familiar with the many tasks the IAAP has been involved in, has instigated and carried out. My understanding of the complexity of the IAAP has developed further especially during my time as Vice-President when I became the Chair of the Constitutional Revision Working Party. This role provided me not only with a deep insight into the structure of the Association, but also its history. As Regional Organizer for Central Europe I also came in closer contact with the Developing Groups and the Routers in this region and with the whole concept and idea of the Education Committee. In the current administration, as President-Elect, I have learned a lot in cooperation with the President, Tom Kelly, and in meetings and exchange with colleagues from all over the world, by attending the Regional Conferences in Latin America, North America, Europe and in China.

EK: If we continue to trace back your path from the present time, we arrive at the inevitable question: what had brought you to Jung?

MM: It was the seeking for a professional change, for deeper understanding of human nature, including myself. I had a Master degree in law and worked for the Swiss Refugee Department when I began my personal analysis, started to read Jung and attended my first lectures at the C.G. Jung Institute, Zurich. I have always had a wide area of interests. In my first field of study, I learned a lot for my everyday life. However, what interested me most were philosophical questions, theories of the state, of the coexistence of human beings, historical
contexts... The activity in the refugee department confronted me with many issues. I was sensitized for humanitarian problems and was at that time surprised by the power and the influence that the political atmosphere in my country could have on legal procedures. In fact, I was still quite naïve and idealistic. Looking back, I think that what I learned then has had a great value for my later life. However, I always knew that this was a temporary stage. I wanted to change my focus to better understand the background of the issues and developments I was involved in. I felt strongly that I had to know more about the soul and the psyche of the individual. When this need became stronger still I looked at several possibilities for further education and it soon became very clear to me that the training in Analytical Psychology was the way I had to go. It touched something in me that I could not let go of any more.

**EK:** What do you think it was that resonated with you so much in Analytical Psychology?

**MM:** It was the holistic view on human beings and on the world that fascinated me in Analytical Psychology. The symbolic understanding opened a new view on so many different contexts. Dreams became more important in my life. The idea of the individuation process has convinced me and is still a central concept of my work as an analyst. I have always appreciated the openness in the concepts of Analytical Psychology, which allows for integration of different and newer psychological approaches and also makes it clear why Jungians work so differently, according to their personal equation.

**EK:** Coming back to the present time and the IAAP, how do you see the Association? What is its place in our professional lives and in the world?

**MM:** The IAAP is an umbrella organization and it has primarily a coordinating and supporting role towards its members. Central is the integrating function of the Association, which I see as particularly important today. Only together we are strong enough and have the potential to further grow and develop our profession.

We can see that the development of the interest in Analytical psychology is diverging in different parts of the world. We witness the interest in depth psychology diminish in the western countries. There are several reasons for this development: Zeitgeist; structural reasons arising from the requirements for becoming a Jungian Analyst; financial barriers to going through such a (relatively) long and expensive education. Also, nowadays many Universities offer cheaper psychotherapeutic trainings, which fulfill the local requirements for becoming a psychotherapist. Nevertheless, in Latin America, in Asia, also in Central and Eastern Europe we have a different picture. Here, Analytical Psychology is much more represented at Universities. Therefore, in these regions we have younger candidates who want to become Jungian Analysts. This development is very exciting for us. However, the exchange, the dialogue within and between groups of analysts in different regions of the world seem very important to me. There is a lot we can learn from each other. The IAAP can help to coordinate this cooperation and the dialogue, as it has done now over many years. This means concretely to support international and regional conferences, research projects, offer a platform for exchange on topical issues etc.
**EK:** To which extent is the IAAP involved with the internal matters of its Group Members?

**MM:** The IAAP does not in general have a direct influence on everyday professional issues of analysts. Here, the local Society is responsible and the IAAP respects the autonomy of its Group Members. However, as umbrella organization, the IAAP has the capacity to provide overviews of the different conditions in our profession worldwide with valuable information and insight available to all members. For this purpose, the Organizational and Advisory Working Party, established in this administration, has collected a lot of very interesting material, which will need to be further, evaluated. In the same way, other projects on important topics could be collected and discussed within the IAAP.

**EK:** Looking into the near future, what would you like to see develop in the IAAP as you embark on your term as President?

**MM:** I think the IAAP is on a good path, present and active in several directions. The many projects that have been initiated and developed in the last years will have to be continued and here I will mention just a few: the differentiation of the Education Committee with all its projects and working groups and its long-term goal to establish Group Members with Training Status in countries where they do not yet exist; the projects of the Publications and Communication Sub-Committee, essential for distribution of information within as well as outside our community; the crucial responsibility of our Association in further development of Analytical Psychology through the activities of the Academic Sub-Committee supporting research projects and conferences; the very important IAAP Consultation Service for supporting our members in all kinds of concerns they may have. There are many other no less important functions and responsibilities for what constitutes the IAAP.

As I have mentioned earlier, we live in a fast changing world. Analytical Psychology must not stand aside if we want to have a voice in the discussions and play a part in this world. I would like to support forums (conferences, working groups, online discussion etc.) where questions on important subjects can be debated. We started last year with the dialogue on political issues. The continuing online discussion among the participants at the London politics conference shows the breadth and depth of the interest in political matters. It seems that Jungians are, and want to be, involved in the world we live in and accept a responsibility also as analysts. There are other topics to think about in our community, such as questions of our identity as Jungian analysts and our clinical work. What does still work in our clinical approach and where we might have to rethink our theories? Do we have research results for these questions? Can we bring our experiences and knowledge into a collective social context, etc.

As an umbrella organization, the IAAP works with its Group Members and Individual Members to think about and arrive at new visions and new concepts. Therefore, changes and further developments can and should only happen in dialogue with all interested parties. I look forward to this exchange and to the challenge to wrestle with the problems of our time within our Association. I also look forward to working with the new IAAP Executive Committee and the new Administration as a whole in my three-year term as President.

The International Association for Analytical Psychology in China formally started in 1994 with an IAAP official visit by Tom Kirsch (President of IAAP), and Murray Stein (Hon Secretary). Heyong Shen sent the invitation and made the arrangements. In 1998, Heyong Shen organized the first International Conference of Analytical Psychology and Chinese Culture with the sponsorship of IAAP. Following that, the IAAP China conferences have continued with its sixth being held in 2013. It will hold the seventh conference from 19th-23rd October in Macao.

The first Chinese Journal, Xinlifenxi, was published in January, 2015. Tom Kelly, the President of IAAP, as well as Past-Presidents, Joe Cambray, Hester Solomon, Christian Gaillard, Murray Stein, Luigi Zoja, Verena Kast, Tom Kirsch, and Thomas Fisher, President of Jung Foundation, wrote Forewords for the first edition of the Journal.

Tom Kelly wrote in his Foreword: “The advent of this Journal marks yet another significant milestone in the development of Analytical Psychology in China. This Journal has the potential to be an important and innovative vehicle to facilitate the mutual sharing and exchange of ideas related to Analytical Psychology from different cultural perspectives”.
Murray Stein wrote: “The inauguration of a Chinese Journal for Analytical Psychology and Jungian Psychoanalysis is a landmark event and indicates the coming of age of Jungian work in China. It will be significant not only for the Jungian world at large but also potentially for modern Chinese society. There can be no doubt that depth psychology has a strong role to play in modern cultures worldwide”.

Thomas Fischer wrote: “During his lifetime Jung developed a deep interest in the ancient civilization and vast history of China, in particular in the study of Taoist traditions and the philosophy of Zhuangzi... As much as the study of Chinese philosophy obviously inspired Jung’s thinking and work, his observations on the common heritage of the human psyche seem to be able to build bridges and foster mutual understanding between Western and Eastern ways of thinking nowadays. That Analytical Psychology has been able to establish itself institutionally and therapeutically in modern day China speaks of its potential, which hopefully will further be stimulated and realized by the launching of this new Journal. We hope that this publication will be able to draw many interested readers and wish the editors every possible success in their undertaking”.

Heyong Shen’s opening address was entitled: The Meaning of Analysis in Chinese. He expressed an open attitude, on behalf of the editorial board members, that the Journal is based on Analytical Psychology but open for Psychoanalysis, Depth Psychology and Dynamic Psychotherapy. It is equally open to philosophy, sociology, art and culture; and Chinese cultural psychology, and the psychology of the heart.

I Ching and Analytical Psychology, C.G. Jung and Richard Wilhelm were the main contents for the first issue. The papers were based on the sixth International Conference of Analytical Psychology and Chinese Culture, held in Qingdao, China, the city in which Richard Wilhelm lived for over 20 years where he met his I Ching teacher, Lao Naixuan, and where they worked together on the translation of I Ching.

There are also special tributes and memorials for James Hillman and Mario Jacoby in the first edition.

The Journal consists of 6 main parts (columns): 1, theme papers, usually 5 papers focus on the theme of the issue; 2, research papers; 3, case reports and supervisions; 4, interviews and dialogue; 5, Arts and Analysis; 6, Cultures and Analysis.

Examples of the above include the main research paper in the issue, Emergence and the Complexity Mechanism of Analytical Psychotherapy, by Jiang Xuehua (Router of IAAP). The paper is based on her Ph.D. dissertation, in which she tries to convey the basic idea of how the metaphorical conceptions of Complexity Theory enlighten us on how to describe and interpret the process of analytical psychotherapy. The emergence during the healing of the psyche is the goal of analytical psychotherapy during which the psyche does not process
smoothly; the transition to the new attractor in the system is unpredictable, and the new action pattern or the new structure of the psyche would emerge unexpectedly.

The case report in the issue, is by Zhang Weiyang, a Ph.D. student of Analytical Psychology.

He used Value of the Dreams and it’s Healing Power as the title of his case report. It is a long process case dealing mainly with dream work. Heyong Shen joined with John Beebe for the case discussion and supervision. The symbolic meaning of “clock” (and cock), “Anima and Animus”, and “Trickster”, both in West and East, and especially in Chinese characters, was deeply discussed.

Heyong Shen, Editor-in-Chief

Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Committee

Heyong Shen, the first Jungian Analyst in China, is the main founder and Editor-in-Chief of the Journal. The Chinese Federation of Analytical Psychology, the Easter Institute of Analytical Psychology, and all of the Presidents of the six Developing Groups (Guangzhou, Shanghai, Beijing and Hong Kong, Taiwan, Macao, the IAAP Chinese Region) served as Board Members of the Journal. Some Chinese psychoanalysts were also invited to be Editorial Board Members (since this is the first and only one this kind of Journal in China. In this way it is a Journal of analytical psychology, but also open to depth psychology, psychoanalysis, and dynamical psychotherapy). All of the IAAP Liaisons in the Chinese region and regular analysts gave their support and contributed to the Journal. These include the following: John Beebe, Tom Kirsch, Jean Kirsch, Ruth Ammann, Harriet Friedman, Murray Stein, Luigi Zoja, Joe Cambray, Paul Kugler, Eva Pattis, Brian Feldman, Robert Bosnak, Allan Guggenbühl, Marta Tibaldi, Viviane Thibaudier, ffiona Perigrinor and Chi Lee.

Fifth International Conference of Analytical Psychology and Chinese Culture, Macao 2012

The News sheet is indebted to Heyong Shen for his contribution to this issue.
ART CORNER

Artist: Penelope Dinsmore

Penelope Dinsmore is an artist living in Inverness, California. Over the years, Penny has presented lectures at the C. G. Jung Institute of San Francisco that have traced how her Jungian analysis with Dr. Joseph Henderson catalyzed an alchemical level to her painting practice that enhanced her vocation as an artist. She currently has a show of 15 paintings at the San Francisco Institute that focus on the theme of alchemical gold. All sale proceeds will go to benefit the Archive for the Study of Archetypal Symbolism (ARAS) in San Francisco.

An article by Penny Dinsmore, "Art, Love and Psyche" can be found online at https://aras.org/sites/default/files/docs/00027Dinsmore.pdf

--John Beebe
ITALY

From “An Endnote at the 3rd European Conference on Analytical Psychology”¹

Trieste, Italy, 27-30 August 2015

By Murray Stein

In presenting these remarks at the conclusion of this remarkably rich and stimulating conference, I speak for myself and also as a member of the Program Committee, which was chaired so competently by Jan Wiener. Those who have been on such a commission will know what a great deal of work it requires, in this case especially so because so many excellent proposals appeared in our mailboxes. The committee did its work entirely by email, and in this stage each member read through all the proposals and ranked them on a scale from 5 (highest) to 1 (lowest). We then tallied the scores and created a program from among the highest ranked proposals. After this and with bated breath on the committee’s part, the conference took place, and now finally judgments will be made by all of us based on our reflections of what transpired here. This Endnote contains some of my preliminary reflections and assessments.

The title chosen for the conference was: “Encounters, Traditions, Developments: Analysis at the Cultural Crossroads.” Let me reflect on the three major themes in turn and then offer a conclusion.

First, I will consider the theme of “encounters.” The design of the conference was to allow for encounters to take place, potentially, in two arenas: in the lectures and workshops on the one hand, and in the discussion groups and the social dreaming matrix on the other. In the first, there would be a possible

¹ The full article will be published in the 2016 printed Newsletter.
encounter of minds; in the second, an encounter of souls and hearts. Did this happen?

In considering the lectures and workshops as places of encounter, I refer initially to Angela Connolly’s fine essay, “The Delivery of Training,” where she quotes the cultural anthropologist Clifford Geertz as follows:

> It is the asymmetries ... between what we believe or feel and what others do, that makes it possible to locate where we now are in the world, how it feels to be there, and where we might or might not want to go. To obscure those gaps and those asymmetries by relegating them to a realm of repressible or ignorable difference, mere unlikeness ... is to cut us off from such knowledge and such possibility: the possibility of quite literally and quite thoroughly, changing our minds.”

The question for us to consider is this: Did we encounter surprising asymmetries and differences in the lectures and workshops here, and did they change our minds significantly? I cannot answer for others, but from my conversations with some participants and also from my own listening experiences, I think this did take place, at least to a certain degree. The lectures and workshops that I attended were very well planned and expertly executed, and the audiences seemed engaged and responsive. I do believe some important encounters with otherness took place there. For me, the opening plenary session by Henry Abramovitch set the stage for the perception of asymmetry and difference by highlighting such issues as divergent meanings assigned to common terms in various languages. Henry challenged us to pay attention to asymmetries and differences in all varieties of communication, including the nonverbal and body language, as we conduct training seminars and workshops across cultures.

Regarding the discussion groups, I would like to cite a couple of lines from Rumi as characterizing their atmosphere as I heard about and experienced them:

> Out beyond ideas of wrongdoing and rightdoing, there is a field. I’ll meet you there.

> When the soul lies down in that grass, the world is too full to talk about. Ideas, language, even the phrase each other doesn’t make any sense.  

This is the type of space the discussion group leaders sought to open up, a space for simple human sharing beyond blame and judgment, and I believe they succeeded in doing so. Located as we are in the middle of a deeply troubled

---


3 I owe Wendy Wilmott thanks for reminding me of this verse while in conversation as I prepared this Endnote.
Europe, tensions were evident, even if well contained, just below the surface of polite sociability. People from many different countries (some 30 countries were represented in the conference) entered into these spaces and opened up to one another.

We heard several moving examples of this in the lectures and workshops. Deep roots in tradition are also necessary for our field. Connection to the sources of our discipline must be maintained even (or especially) as we go forward with innovations and new developments in theory and practice. Where this emotional link is severed, trauma remains and resists healing processes offered by the psyche.

Altogether, I felt that the tension was adequately sustained between tradition and innovation and in such a way that both are now strongly anchored in our collective consciousness. If we gain nothing else from this conference, this is a jewel.

In conclusion, let me say that I look upon this brief Endnote as a rite de passage. Henry Abramovitch conducted a rite d’entrée into the conference, and I am presiding over the rite of exit out of the liminality of the conference and back into the everyday social world that each of us individually occupied.

Photos: Franois Martin-Vallas
JAP for the IAAP Newsletter

2015 has already proved exceptionally busy for the Journal. The turn of the year brought the five year tenure of our US Editor, Linda Carter, to a close: a huge thank you to her for her unstinting support, and a big welcome to David Sedgwick who has bravely accepted the invitation to take up the US reins.

In the spring we celebrated our 60th anniversary with a weekend conference in London. The chosen theme – “Reflections on Jungian Clinical Practice: From Then till Now” - was intended to highlight the tradition of clinical orientation that is the Journal’s abiding hallmark. Accordingly each speaker was asked to draw for inspiration on a specific paper, originally published in the Journal, which still informed their current thinking and practice.

Don Kalsched paid tribute to Michael Fordham’s Defences of the Self: what a treat to hear one of our most influential of contemporary Jungian authors discoursing on perhaps the most influential of all Journal papers. Murray Stein paid eloquent tribute to a Rosemary Gordon paper and our consultant editor, Warren Colman, drew on George Hogenson to inspire his cutting edge contribution to the contemporary debate around archetypal transmission. These were well supported by further plenary contributions from Jan Wiener, Angela Connolly, Elizabeth Urban and Brian Feldman, in addition to the usual conference workshops. From the opening tea-party – complete with anniversary cake – to the closing address there was a warm, engaged atmosphere: thanks to all those who contributed and attended for making this one of our best received conferences yet.

It would be remiss not to also mention the Musical Soiree that took up the role of the usual conference gala dinner on the Saturday. This was an absolute delight; there has always been a strong impromptu musical tradition at Journal conferences but this cabaret at Burgh House in Hampstead took it all to another level! A starry cast was headed by Jan Wiener and “The Dreamers” holding court with style and wit, supported by Don Kalsched singing some Newfoundland sea-
shanties in his fine tenor and Warren Colman with some passionate blues. Fabolous.

Donald Kalsched sings sea shanties, watched by US Editor, David Sedgwick

The success of the London event encouraged the editors to look ahead to the choice of venue for our next international Journal conference. With this in mind, as well as the opportunity to forge closer links with the thriving South American Jungian communities, the Journal editors attended and presented at the VII Latino-Americano Congress in Buenos Aires. This impressive gathering proved both enjoyable and hugely rewarding. Our thanks to all who responded with such generous enthusiasm to our visit!

Left to right:
Lisimaco Henao (Colombia),
Susanna Wright
(UK Co-editor JAP),
Eduardo Carvallo (Colombia)

( Photo: Tom Kelly)

Such was the encouragement that plans are now already underway for holding the next Journal Conference in Brazil in 2017....probably in the charming old colonial resort town of Parati, on the coast half way between Rio & Sao Paulo. Its natural beauty, and the local tradition of holding an annual literary festival there, suggest it should prove a venue in which that distinctive ambience of a Journal conference would flourish.

William Meredith-Owen
ANALYSIS AND ACTIVISM: SOCIAL AND POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OF JUNGIAN PSYCHOLOGY

The International Association for Analytical Psychology in collaboration with the Associazione Italiana di Psicologia Analitica (AIPA), the Associazione per la Ricerca in Psicologia Analitica (ARPA) and the Centro Italiano di Psicologia Analitica (CIPA) and The University of Rome 3

December 4th 5th and 6th, Rome

For the Program, please go to: iaap.org and look for 2015 Conferences in the drop down menu.

Click here for registration form

For questions, please email: romeconference2015@yahoo.com.

(Source: ancientromelive.org)
MESSAGE FOR THE KYOTO CONGRESS PRESENTERS
FROM THE CHILD & ADOLESCENT WORKING PARTY

Dear Presenter,

Congratulations on your selection to present at the Kyoto Congress. We are the members of the Child and Adolescent Working Party (C&WWP) of the Executive Committee of the IAAP, and we’re contacting you to ask if your presentation is relevant to child and adolescent issues.

There has been a long-standing desire in the IAAP to facilitate the evolution and development of child and adolescent Jungian thought and theory. Many analysts throughout the world are working with children without the benefit of an established Jungian community to exchange knowledge and clinical experience. At the Kyoto Congress we want to provide a way for analysts and candidates who work with children to attend presentations that are relevant to their work in order to promote the development of the international Jungian child community.

If your paper is relevant to this community, we’d like to identify it as such in the program so colleagues may know to attend your breakout session. Please email one or all of us to alert us to your paper and we’ll work with the program committee to identify your presentation as part of the child and adolescent “track” in the program brochure.

Best wishes,

Christine Hejinian – heji@sbcglobal.net

Batya Brosh Palmoni – batyair@netvision.net.il

Alessandra De Coro – aledecna@alice.it

*****************************************************************************

EDITORIAL TEAM DESIRED FOR THE PROCEEDINGS
OF THE KYOTO CONGRESS 2016

We are gathering volunteers who either have experience or are interested in gaining experience in the editing of papers to be published in the proceedings of the Kyoto congress. Emilija Kiehl (BJAA, London) is editing
the keynote and plenary papers; I am overseeing the editing of the breakout papers.

For my team, I am hoping to attract enough people so that no one is responsible for more than 15 of the breakout papers. The task is to:

1. Comb through the paper for clarity, making suggestions if there are issues of comprehension, which can be due to language translations or grammatical confusions.

2. Bring the paper into accord with whatever academic style Emilija decides we will use, so that there is a standard format, font size, footnote system etc.

3. Communicating those necessary changes to the authors so that they can bring their papers up to standard (you do not do the conversion work yourself).

4. Double check that everything needed is in the final piece sent to you by your authors, and then get your 15 papers in to me so that I can do the final read-through and submit them for publication by the deadline.

To ask a question, or even better, to Volunteer, you may reach me at:

mklenc44@gmail.com

Margaret Klenck
JPA New York

______________________________________________________________

Cartoon: Gottfried M. Heuer
The C. G. Jung Center of New York is pleased to announce

**A Red Book Event**

March 4-5, 2016

From February 29 to April 1, 2016, an exhibition of the Digital Fusion prints from Jung’s Red Book (theredbookprints.com) will take place at the Salena Gallery of Long Island University - Brooklyn (www.facebook.com/liubrooklynart).

You are cordially invited to the **Opening Reception** on Friday evening, 6:00-8:00pm, March 4, at the gallery at One University Place, Brooklyn. These magnificent 25x33 inch reproductions of Jung’s paintings made their art world debut at the 55th Annual Venice Biennale in 2013, where the original manuscript of the Red Book was on display.

In conjunction with the exhibit, a **Conference** will be held on Saturday, March 5, at the C. G. Jung Center of New York, 28 East 39th Street. Entitled **Snakes, Dragons, and Other Scaly Creatures**, the Conference is co-sponsored by the C. G. Jung Institute of New York (http://www.junginstitute.org), the New York Association for Analytical Psychology (http://www.nyaap.org), the C. G. Jung Foundation of New York (www.cgjungny.org), Archive for Research in Archetypal Symbolism (http://aras.org), and the Analytical Psychology Club of New York (http://jungclubnyc.org).
Snakes, Dragons, and Other Scaly Creatures

Saturday, March 5, 2016
The C. G. Jung Center of New York
28 East 39th Street, New York, NY

CONFERENCE REGISTRATION

From February 29 to April 1, 2016, the Salena Gallery of Long Island University - Brooklyn will host an exhibition of the Digital Fusion prints from Jung's Red Book. All conference participants are cordially invited to the Opening Reception on Friday evening, March 4, at 6:00 p.m. at the gallery at One University Place, Brooklyn.

On Saturday, March 5, the Conference will take place at the Jung Center, 28 East 39th Street in New York City. **Snakes, Dragons, and Other Scaly Creatures** will open with a keynote address by Ami Ronenberg, Curator of the Archive for Research in Archetypal Symbolism (ARAS), followed by 30-minute presentations by Jungian Analysts and Training Candidates, focusing on images of the serpent and its multivalent representations. The presentations will lead us into realms such as psychological theory, mythology, clinical practice, and contemporary culture. The format will be three moderated panels, each featuring three speakers, with time for lively discussion. Registrants will receive details of the full schedule in December.

**Registration and payment via Eventbrite:** [www.snakesanddragonsnyc.eventbrite.com](http://www.snakesanddragonsnyc.eventbrite.com)

Fee for the conference is $100. $150 after January 1.

Early registration is recommended, as space is limited.

For questions, please email [snakesanddragonsnyc@gmail.com](mailto:snakesanddragonsnyc@gmail.com)

Frank McMillan Ill has endowed a Chair in Analytical Psychology at the C.G. Jung Center in Houston, Texas.

Note from the Editor: may we remind all our members to check and update your information on the website database. For any difficulties with this please contact our Secretary, Selma Gubser, at: [iaapsecretary@iaap.org](mailto:iaapsecretary@iaap.org)