Dear Colleagues,

The production of this Newsheet was linked with the work on our new website, causing some delay in its completion. As this is the only issue this year, we wanted to make sure that it includes a photo report from one of the most important events in running the IAAP: the Council of Societies meeting, which takes place in February every three years, i.e. once per each IAAP administration. Once the urgent tasks on the new website were tackled, the photographs from the Council of Societies meeting, taken by our webmaster, Don Williams, could be processed and we are pleased to be able to share them with you in the “Around the World” section. Thank you, Don, for the great photos!

Along with the construction of the new website, the production of the printed Newsletter and Members’ List is now in its final stages: the books are now with the printers and should reach you by post in due course.

While we aim to bring the intercontinental palette of the IAAP into the contents of each Newsheet, it so happened that the great majority of contributions we received for this issue came from Europe. We have decided to follow the spirit, and allow this to be a distinctly European issue: the Society profile is from SFPA, France; the Developing Group Profile is from Bulgaria and our interviewee in the “Some Thoughts on…” section is Dr. Francois Martin-Vallas, from SFPA. The events that feature in the “Around the World” section are also from Europe.

We warmly welcome all contributions for the Society and Developing Group profiles, art work and news for the next Newsheet. Issue No. 6 is due in February 2014 and the deadline for contributions is November 2013.

In closing this Editorial I would like to bring in a poetic connection across the continents. I send you my good wishes with another beautiful Haiku by David Rosen.

Trek up the hill...
suddenly looking-up
a new year


Emilija Kiehl
Newsletter & Newsheet Editor

Cover page designed by Misser Berg; photo: Jimmy Lassen “The New Moon with Earth-shine”
FROM THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

THE PUBLICATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS SUB-COMMITTEE

The main task of the Publications and Communications Sub-Committee is to facilitate communication among the IAAP groups and members through the IAAP Website, the printed Newsletter, the on-line Newsheet, the Member’s List and Congress Proceedings. Publications by IAAP serve to channel new and creative ideas to IAAP members and to the general public.

Walter Boechat (Photo: D Williams)

As the previous Executive Committee administration began its work in 2010, our Sub-Committee expanded its activities to promote better interaction and exchange of ideas among IAAP members. The IAAP is growing at great speed, with new groups in different parts of the world such as China, Eastern Europe and Latin America. This means that communication has become a central issue for the good development of the IAAP as a whole. Our Sub-Committee is attentively searching to incorporate new technologies for good communication through the internet, including new video-conference technology.

The Publications and Communications Sub-Committee is also working to provide information to our members in the most accessible way. New information about the IAAP website is regularly sent to the Presidents of the IAAP Societies, Developing Groups and Individual Router Groups, with a request to forward them to their members. Information on the IAAP Congresses, Events, Communications by the IAAP President and Officers are offered in the quickest and easiest way to reach all of our members worldwide. We are asking the Presidents to provide translation of the communications into their local languages, whenever possible, in an attempt to diminish the language barrier in the countries outside the five official languages of the IAAP.

THE IAAP WEBSITE

The IAAP website is under the organization of our webmaster, Don Williams, and the database manager, Lucien Apostol. It has developed much during the previous administration, offering various new services to our membership. The website continues to provide the President’s List and List Messenger. The President’s list is designed to allow an easy communication with the IAAP Society presidents. The List Messenger has been used by the E.C. to convey announcements from the E.C. or Officers to all members of IAAP.

Many suggestions have been considered to improve the website. Last year, Don Williams made some changes that made the website more dynamic and attractive. On the homepage we now have announcements for main conferences and other IAAP events with links to more detailed information. Announcements are displayed in a way that optimizes the space for a maximum of information. Don continues to work with Lucian Apostol on
completion of a major software upgrade of the website and to create a more reliable, friendly, attractive and versatile home for IAAP online. Beside the reorganization and the programming tasks, graphic designers were consulted about the aesthetic choices (page layout, color palette, typography, etc.). The changes and the modernization of our website were planned to be ready for the Council of Societies meeting this February. We are very thankful to Don and Lucian for their valuable work.

In 2011, the Sub-Committee coordinated an intensive activity on the website focusing on two main points: the Constitution Revision Forum and the Commemoration of the 50th anniversary of the death of C. G. Jung.

**The Constitutional Review Forum**

The Constitutional Review Working Party together with the Publications and Communications Sub-Committee organized a Forum for the Review of the IAAP Constitution. The Review of the IAAP Constitution started during the previous administration and was approved at the Delegates Meeting at the 2010 Congress in Montreal.

The Forum was devised to promote a full discussion with the IAAP membership over the proposed revisions to the Constitution. Don Williams organized the Forum in a special area of the website using a user-friendly, interactive software. Because of the excellent level of participation of IAAP members, the Forum, which was originally scheduled to run from September the 15th to October 15th, 2011, was later extended to October the 31st. The Presidents of the IAAP Groups and the Individual Members were invited to make further comments. The deadline for the Presidents to send further comments was also extended to November the 8th, 2011. On the homepage of the website, in the main menu, Don Williams placed a special “Forum” link which was connected to the Forum area where the IAAP members were able to find a general explanation on how the Forum works and how to download the relevant documents. There were four documents for downloading, both in Pdf and Word files: the Report of the Revised IAAP Constitution; the Comparison between the Revised and the Current Constitution; the Revised Constitution, and the Current Constitution and By-Laws. After downloading these documents, the members could return to the Forum area and click on the various links to view the active topics or to post comments. This made the Forum very dynamic and user-friendly.

**The Commemoration of the 50th Anniversary of the death of C. G. Jung**

The commemoration of the 50th anniversary of C.G. Jung’s death was given a special space on the website. On the homepage, in the vertical menu under “News”, the visitor can find the link “The 50th anniversary of the death of C. G. Jung (1961-2011)”. This link leads to the page dedicated to the Anniversary, with an introduction by Walter Boechat, a communication by Joe Cambray, IAAP President, and Murray Stein, IAAP past-President; an interview with Thomas Kirsch, past IAAP President by Walter Boechat and articles by Andrew Samuels, Ann Shearer, Christopher Hauke, Ruth Williams, Paul Ashton, Maria Marozza and Stefano Carta and Bou-Yong Rhi. These contributions from different parts of the world speak of the rich and varied influence of Jungian thought in the various cultures.
Obituary Section

2011 was a year of important losses for IAAP. Historical figures passed away and were honored on our website. James Hillman had an obituary written by Don Williams who also organized a Memorial with photographs of Hillman at Eranos meetings. The photographs were kindly offered by Paul Kugler.

IAAP President, Joe Cambray, and Tom Kelly, President-elect, John Desteian and Stacey Wirth, Co-presidents of AGAP and Josef Marty, President of SGAP, wrote obituaries for Mario Jacoby.

Margarita Mendez from SVAJ, wrote the obituary for Raphel Lopez-Pedraza in English and Spanish.

THE IAAP NEWSHEET

The Sub-Committee concluded the project of an on-line IAAP Newsheet in November 2010. The Newsheet, now at its 5th issue, replaced the on-line Newsletter which was having few hits on the website. However, the Executive Committee decided, at that time, to continue to have a hard copy Newsletter printed each three years.

Emilija Kiehl, member-analyst from BJAA (former BAP) London, has been the editor of the Newsheet since its creation. The contents and format of the Newsheet, organized by Emilija, have been much appreciated by our community. Since 2011, four issues of the Newsheet were launched providing means for a more intense and agile communication among our members. The Newsheet can be downloaded from the “Analysts Only” area of the IAAP website and is also sent by email as a Pdf attachment to all IAAP membership.

We have noticed that IAAP members are becoming more acquainted with the Newsheet and responding more frequently by email with positive comments. Nevertheless, due to the inevitable budgetary restrictions reflecting the international economic crisis, the E.C. is now discussing whether the frequency of the on-line Newsheet should be reduced to once per a year.

NEWSLETTER

The printed Newsletter is published triennially, during the year of the IAAP Congresses. Emilija Kiehl has been the editor of the printed Newsletter since 2010 when it was established as a triennial publication. Since then, Emilija accepted the editorship of both the printed Newsletter and the on-line Newsheet. We are thankful to Emilija for her effort and her excellent job, taking on the work of editing the Newsletter, the Newsheet and also the Member’s List.

The Newsletter contains the reports from the Sub-Committees and Working Parties of the Executive Committee. These reports give a general idea to our membership of the way of functioning of the Executive Committee. Another important content of the Newsletter are the reports of the IAAP Societies, Developing Groups and Router Groups. With the continuous increase in the number of the IAAP Groups, reaching the reporters can sometimes prove difficult, e. g. if we do not have the record of the reporters’ current email addresses. We thank our Sub-Committee colleagues, Alessandra de Coro and Fred Borchardt for their effort in helping us contact IAAP group reporters and collecting the reports and photos. The Newsletter has a special focus on the upcoming IAAP Congress, providing information from the Program Committee and the Local Organizing Committee. A new
section in the Newsletter entitled “Global Events” will start in the 2013 issue containing reports of important IAAP events. The forthcoming issue will have reports from some of the conferences of global importance that took place last year, notably, The Second European Conference of Analytical Psychology; the Sixth Latin American Conference of Jungian Psychology; The Fifth Conference of Analytical Psychology and Chinese Culture.

MEMBERS’ LIST

The Members’ List is also published triennially. It contains all available data about our membership: the list of IAAP Members, Groups, Developing Groups, Router Groups and the Geographical List of Members. It is now in final preparation for publication in March/April 2013. This information can also be found on our website and we encourage our members to keep their data up to date. We thank IAAP Secretary, Yvonne Trueb, for her help in receiving data from our membership and from the secretariats of the IAAP groups worldwide, working with Don Williams and Lucien Apostol to keep our database up to date.

Congress Proceedings

The Proceedings of the 2010 XVIII IAAP Congress in Montreal: “Facing Multiplicity: Psyche, Nature, Culture” were published as a book and CD in May 2012, carefully and solicitously edited by Pramila Bennett. Pramila had also edited the Proceedings of the 2007 Congress in Cape Town and has decided not to continue as Editor of the Congress Proceedings. We want to express our special thanks to Pramila for her excellent job in this position. We also thank our colleague Bob Hinshaw from Daimon Verlag, for his fine work in publishing the Congress Proceedings.

Walter Boechat
Chair and Communications Officer

Members of the Publications and Communications Sub-Committee:

George Hogenson  Angela Connolly  Alessandra De Coro  Fred Borchardt

Don Williams and Emilija Kiehl, consulting members
History of the French Analytical Psychology Society (SFPA)

Carl Gustav Jung did not have many followers in France during his life time. It wasn’t until the 1960’s that a group of Jung followers became organised in a structured manner. This project emerged as France was already one of the most Freudian countries worldwide and the psychoanalysis arena was dominated by Jacques Lacan’s most charismatic figure.

In France’s 1968 social movements got young therapists affiliated to Jung convinced that, while their elders were individually affiliated to the Zurich International Organisation, they now needed to create a group dedicated to analytical psychology in France. The SFPA was thus created in 1969 with founders Ania Teillard, Hélène Wiart-Téboul, André Arthus, Luigi Aurigema, Alain Le Bars, Roland Cahen, Elie Humbert, Emile Rogé and Pierre Solié. Some had undertaken a training analysis with C. G. Jung, others with Marie-Louise von Franz, most had already been involved in associations such as Le Groupe d’Etude C.G. Jung de Paris, L’Association Francaise de Psychologie Dialectique de Georges Verne, or Le Groupe de Réflexion de Psychologie Analytique (now known as GERPA). The SFPA was admitted as member of IAAP in 1971.

The Society’s initial objectives were several : to allow French Jungians to gather as a group ; to support individuals in their individuation process ; to be able to offer a training
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currents, the SFPA succeeded
in preserving its unity and even in increasing its headcount until the beginning of the 1990’s.
In 1989, the SFPA hosted the successful 11th International Congress for Analytical
Psychology in Paris on the theme « Personal and Archetypal Dynamics in the Analytical
Relationship ».

In the 1990’s context of analytical psychology undergoing a relative decline in the
medical arena, among intellectuals and the public at large, the SFPA continues to develop,
offering both training and a platform for the diffusion of Jung ideas in France.

Over time, the SFPA became structured around an Institute, where students receive
their training, a Management Committee with clearly defined prerogatives, a College of Training analysts, a Council of Training
analysts and an Admission Committee. A network was
progressively developed in French regions. The SFPA organises a
Congress every third year and an annual topical workshop
opened to non-members. Members meet three times a year for
internal seminars.

During this period, founding members disappeared (Elie Humbert in 1990, Pierre Solié in 1993 and Roland Cahen in
1998) or took their distance, such as Luigi Aurigemma in the
1980’s. They left a void, but this also opened opportunities for
other personalities, such as Geneviève Guy-Gillet and Denyse
Lyard, to bring forward their original ideas.

In the early 1990’s for the first time, two members who had been entirely trained at
the SFPA were successively appointed President, Denyse Lyard in 1990 and Dr. Gilbert
Masse in 1992. In 1994, Christian Gaillard becomes President and he is re-elected for a
second term in 1996. He becomes IAAP’s first French « President-elect » between 1998 and

Aimé Agnel and Viviane Thibaudier were his successors at SFPA in 1998 and 2002,
respectively. François Mémier became interim President between June and November
2006, when Viviane Jullien-Palletier was elected. In 2008, the Presidency was held in tandem by Françoise Le Hénand and Henri De Vathaire, followed by Elisabeth Conesa in 2010. François Mémier has been President since March 2011. Today, the SFPA is 83 members and 25 associated members strong.

The comfortable Parisian headquarters of rue Ganneron, acquired in 2002, offer several meeting rooms, a media collection and its reading room, friendly areas and host the Society’s secretariat. The SFPA also hosts and supports the independent review « Les Cahiers Jungiens de Psychanalyse » the first issue of which was released in 1974.

Many of the informations appearing in this article were provided by Florent Serina, historian currently working on the history of analytical psychology reception in France.

Reine-Marie Halbout

Publications by Members of SFPA

Lisbeth von Benedek La crise du milieu de vie. Un tournant, une seconde chance, Eyrolles 2010


Vivianne Thibaudier 100% Jung chez Eyrolles 2011


Monique Salzmann, La peur du féminin, La part Commune, 2012

Claude Boureille, Pérégrination avec Jung, La Part commune, 2012

The year 2012 was remarkable for our Developing Group. Twenty years ago, in 1992, our colleague, Krassimira Baytchinska, created a non-formal circle of psychologists and psychiatrists to study and discuss Jung’s works. The lack of knowledge and practice in psychotherapy/psychoanalysis was great because in socialist Bulgaria psychotherapy was considered ideologically inappropriate. By that time the first translations of Jung in Bulgarian were published. This non-formal group worked till 2000 when it was recognized by IAAP as a Developing Group.

With the support of IAAP, the first visits of analysts took place in Sofia. Rina Porat, our first liaison with IAAP, helped us to organize them. During the seminars we were deeply moved by the new-to-us Jungian ideas and understanding of human psyche and soul. The lecturers who conveyed this new knowledge to us were both teachers and missionaries for us. We are grateful to Naomi Lowinski, Luigi Zoja, Alice Mertz, Rina Porat, Erel Shalit, Waltraut Koerner, Helga Thomas, Andreas von Heydewolff and others, who brought this knowledge close to us.

IAAP supported the Group to organize the International conference in Varna, in 2003 “Recreating the world: The transformative power of arts and play in psychotherapy”. It was attended by more than 100 participants, and gave us the scope of the contemporary developments in Analytical psychology.
After the conference we realized that we should work out a Study Program for Jungian psychotherapy as a basis for our development in analytical psychology. The most experienced colleagues of the Group, guided by our second liaison with IAAP, Erel Shalit, began to work on such a program.

**Erel Shalit and Krassimira Baytchinska**

The need for analysis led the Group to the decision, through the IAAP Newsletter, to invite an analyst to Bulgaria for analytical work with our colleagues. We are immensely grateful to Annette Lowe who responded wholeheartedly to the invitation from Australia. She came and stayed with our Society for 6 months giving the first analytical hours to our members.

We value highly the efforts of Helga Thomas and Christian Vojtchziski who speak Bulgarian, and began shuttle analysis with a good number of our members several times per year: Helga Thomas from 2003, Christian Vojtchziski from 2007.

The work on the Study program was completed in 2006. It fulfilled the requirements of the Bulgarian Association for Psychotherapy and the European Association for Psychotherapy. The Program consists of two parts: pre-clinical and clinical. Beside the necessary hours of theory, therapy/analysis and supervisions, we included hours of personal experience in a group.

*The Museum of Foreign Art in Sofia, 2007: we celebrated the Opening of the Program and the first Admission of students. It was a great moment in our history as a Society.*
At the beginning of our studies, we had 3-4 seminars per year. In recent years, we have increased that number to about 18-20 seminars per year. Organizationally, it is a lot of work, but this way we can satisfy the needs of the different educational levels of our members and students.

Although we try to provide our members with opportunities for analytical work, still the ratio between the theoretical/seminar work to analysis and supervision remains in favor of theory. That is why our regional organizer, Marianne Müller, has connected us with the Russian analysts, Elena Volodina and Tatiana Rebeko, who have started from this year their visits for shuttle analysis in Bulgaria.

By 2011 we had three Admissions of students to the Study Program.
Also by that time 15 of the most advanced in training members of the Group fulfilled the requirements of the Study Program and became Jungian psychotherapists. From this group came our first group of routers.

Due to these developments, the role of the Study Program Committee became more central and influential. It is now called the Educational Standards and Training Committee, led by M.D./Ph.D. Svetlana Nikolkova. The Committee consists of 5 Jungian psychotherapists, and it is responsible for the screening interviews with the candidates for the Program; for monitoring the development of the students; for the preparation of the schedule of seminars for each year, and to support those members who entered the Router Program of IAAP. The Educational Committee works in close cooperation with the Board of the Society.

Every other year the Group organizes a National conference in Analytical Psychology. The theme of our 3rd conference in October 2011 was “Analytical psychology in Bulgaria: Challenges and Perspectives”. Invited guests were Marianne Müller, Vice-President of IAAP, JoAnn Culbert-Koehn, our new liaison with IAAP, and Erel Shalit, our former liaison.

Specific to this Conference were the good reports and presentations of younger members of our society and students in the Study program. At the end of the Conference some of them received intermediate certificates which allow them to practice Jungian psychotherapy under supervision.
During the Conference we felt that we have achieved a new step in our growth as a Jungian society. In the days after the Conference a new router group of our society came into being. In the coming years we expect to have a group of Bulgarian analysts.

This development of our members was possible due to the devoted work of the IAAP analysts visiting our Group. After the opening of our Program, new analysts joined in the efforts of the first analysts who came to us. These were: Christian Vojtchiziski, Gert Sauer, Ann Shearer, Monika Rafalski, Ruth Ammann and others.

We owe a special gratitude to Erel Shalit for his energetic work with the Group as our IAAP liaison over 7 years, for the careful and fruitful guidance of the Group in the process of establishing the Study Program for Jungian psychotherapists in Bulgaria. We are thankful to Helga Thomas who worked closely with Erel Shalit and steadily supported our society in its development.

Erel Shalit and Helga Thomas

We are grateful to IAAP for more than 10 years of financial and professional support for our development as a Group.

www.jungbg.org

Bulgaria (Sofia)
Slavianska, 6, ap.19
Sofia 1000
Bulgaria
Tel. +359 2 9286438; E-mail address: bs.c.g.jung@gmail.com

President: Petya Horozova

Members of the board: Katerina Ilieva(Ina), Nadya Malinova

IAAP Liaison: JoAnn Culbert-Koehn will become the Liaison in February 2011
E-mail: culbertkoehn@aol.com
Some thoughts on...

Interview with: François Martin-Vallas

By: Emilija Kiehl

For our second clinical talk, we have invited Dr. François Martin-Vallas to continue our exploration of the analytic third, using his concept of transferential chimera for looking at an emergent property of the analytic relationship. His two papers, published in the Journal of Analytical Psychology in 2006 and 2008, introduced the concept to the international readership.

EK How did you discover, come across, the transferential chimera?

FMV Early in my clinical work I worked with the notion that the transference is something that is projected from the patient on the analyst and that the countertransference is something that is projected from the analyst to the patient. However, quite soon I had the feeling that there was something else within the transference relationship - something coming from both the analyst and the analysand as well as coming from neither of them.

My final paper at the end of my training at the SFP was about a very difficult clinical case. The end of that analysis was very surprising for me because of what happened in a session some months before the scheduled last one: I was literally projected out of my chair for 10 cm, shouting to my patient: “that’s enough!” As you can imagine that was quite surprising for the patient as well as for myself. We had agreed on the date to end the analysis and what I felt, unconsciously, was that she was going back to the symptoms that the analysis had resolved. I felt that she had quite a pleasure about this, I would say, sexual pleasure, and my unconscious feeling at the time was that this was not fair. That is what I was referring to when I exclaimed, “that’s enough!” OK, it was easy for me think about what had happened as coming from my counttransference, from my difficulties with the patient, from my own personal history, but what I was really surprised by and really struggling to understand was the fact that this had a very good effect on the patient - it was an opportunity for her to sacrifice something of her sexual pleasure symptomatology. Then my question was no longer only about what was dysfuctioning in me but how can it be that my being a very bad analyst at that moment was exactly what my patient needed. How to think about this in a way that corresponds with my analytical practice? I went back, of course, to Jung’s writing on the transference and came to the conclusion that in this book (Jung 1954) he talks about the transference as a process that takes place between the two people - a process in its own right.
EK Jung describes it as something alive, almost an entity that is created between the analyst and the analysand.

FMV Yes... I don’t know when did the idea of the chimera first come to me but once it came, I picked it up quite quickly, as it was expressing quite clearly what I had experienced. On the one hand it is a phantasy, something that is not concrete...

EK It exists in what you call neo-reality...

FMV – Yes... on the other hand the term chimera is used in biology to describe living bodies made of at least two different bodies, of two different genomes... And lastly, chimera is a mythological animal, which is also made of many animals. So, it appeared to me as quite a good word to describe what happened in that session. Of course, I was talking about neo-reality in the sense that Jung talks about psychic reality – but, here, this reality does not exist before the meeting between the analyst and the analysand. It is created by their meeting and disappears afterwards. It is really, in my feeling, the reality of their encounter and of the transference. It is in the third position between the two of them.

EK And you see it as consisting of the combined de-integrates (Fordham 1985) of the two selves in interaction.

FMV Yes. Today, while I would not say that this is not the case, I would prefer to think of the chimera in terms of the emergence of a new complex system, as a new level of psychic reality which is the result of the interactions between the two systems of the analyst and the analysand – something new emerging, which is the one and the other as well as neither the one or the other.

EK Sufis have an idea that when a meeting of two souls generates powerful emotions (Sufies would think of the energy of love and we could think of the dynamics in the analytic relationship) a child is produced – a spiritual child. These spiritual children live within the encounter of the two people. Do you mean something similar?

FMV That is exactly what I mean... I later found a way to represent this with neuro-biology, neuro-cognitivist systems recently discovered. This allows for a differentiation between what happens in a normal encounter and what happens in the specific frame of analysis, with its high level of asymmetry which, makes this child, I think, different. In my way of thinking, this is needed so that both the analyst and the analysand can leave the analysis free of the child. The aim of analysis is the end of analysis. That is what distinguishes this specific encounter from other kinds of encounters.

EK – Something that came through for me as a common thread reading your clinical cases, is empathy...
**FMV** I don’t know in English, but in French empathy has many moral associations. It is a very Christian word that denotes being nice towards the people who are suffering…. What I feel is important is a high level of affective and bodily resonance between the analyst and the patient. In my experience the analyst needs to be plastic, so to speak, to allow everything that happens in the analysis to have an effect on him, both on the bodily and the affective level.

**EK** That is how I think of empathy - as the capacity to resonate with the other person’s state of being…. In our work that would mean acknowledging and respecting the other’s reality. I would relate this to the ethical position (Solomon 2007) or a state of integrity (Beebe 1992) – a sense of responsibility and consciousness of the profound effect we all have on each other...

**FMV** Ethical position being the foundation of psychoanalysis. I would agree with that.

**EK** I also wanted to ask you about the endogamous and exogamous libido and the dynamics they generate within the chimera.

**FMV** The endogamous libido is defined as the wish and the ability to be the same with the other. The opposite: the wish and the ability for awareness of the difference and the unknown of the other, this is exogamous libido. Part of the analytical position is the analyst’s consciousness of the movement of both libidos in himself, and his respect of the absolute difference of his patient. The analyst invests the tension between the two libidos in himself into something new to emerge. I can think of clinical situations where as a male analyst I can have a very intense sexual desire towards a female patient - how do I contain that. It is not enough for something in me, my super ego, to tell me that this is not allowed. That is an infantile position. But by questioning this in the session and imagining what would happen to our relationship if I did act on this wish, what would happen in the real, concrete life, mine and my patient’s, immediately something happens that contains the wish and I realize that its effect can only be destructive. With this realization comes the fear that one day I might consider that its effect could be creative rather than destructive. I see this tension as really the tension between the endogamous and exogamous libido. Endogamous libido doesn’t mind what would happen because its aim is only to be one. It is the exogamous libido that says: “Yes, but you are you and she is she, what happens then?”

Another point about chimera I feel is very important is the analyst’s own defenses, unconsciously shared with the patient. This allows for the patient to experience the analyst’s difference and the analyst to be close to the patient. ...In an earlier paper, which has not been translated into English, referring to the role my narcissistic defenses played in a piece of a work, I bring an image of the house I lived in as a child. There were two mirrors facing each other but not absolutely parallel. Because of the small difference of angle.
between them, if you walked in the middle you could see your reflection in one mirror and the reflection of the reflection in the other mirror. I also intermittently saw my face and the back of my head in the mirrors. This perspective was possible only because the mirrors were not completely parallel. The analyst’s ethical and exogamous position needs to reach the level beyond empathy in order for the patient to experience their difference and separateness, and their closeness.

EK A position that can hold a paradox...

FMV Yes, and I prefer the word paradox to tension of the opposites. The endogamous and exogamous libidos do not move away from each other but coexist in the same space.

EK Thank you very much, Francois, for this conversation! As always, the limited space in the Newssheet allows for just a glimpse of our interviewees’ thinking, but it does offer us a theme that we can continue to explore.

FMV Thank you, it was very interesting for me too.

References:


Martin-Vallas,F. « The transferential chimera and neurosciences », in Dir. Mark Winborn, Shared Realities: Participation Mystique and Beyond, Fisher King Press, Carmel, CA, USA ( to be published in 2013).

Ann Casement coordinated this article and thanks the Editors of the Studi Junghiani

PREAMBLE

Studi Junghiani is the Journal of the Associazione Italiana per lo Studio della Psicologia Analitica (AIPA), which has Institutes in Florence, Milan, Naples and Rome. It was founded in 1995 with a double aim: firstly, to create a space where all the Association’s members and training candidates could publish their original contributions; secondly, to open a dialogue with outside authors, either from other psychoanalytic societies or other disciplines. The Journal is published twice-yearly, and the Editor-in-Chief is AIPA’s President, who works directly on the Editorial Committee or, when the President considers it appropriate, through a specifically delegated member of AIPA’s Executive Committee. The Editorial Committee is composed of a Secretary appointed by the Executive Committee, and twelve Editors, who are elected from the Association’s ordinary members by the General Assembly. The Editors remain in office for three years and cannot hold any other office within the Association at the same time. They may each be reconfirmed in office for a further three years.

AIMS OF STUDI JUNGHIANI

The name, Studi Junghiani, denotes the validity of preserving continuity with Jung’s thinking, despite its requiring historico-critical revision, as still fertile soil for creative development. The aim of the Journal is to evaluate the specificity of analytical psychology that
encompasses subjective experiences of intra-personal and inter-personal relationships through the organizing principle of the Self - the latter viewed as embedded in a context that transcends the individual.

From the beginning, the Journal’s intention has been to provide a forum for debate that welcomes questions about the overall meaning of psychologists’ commitment, as well as, the need to nurture and expand awareness of the quest for individual meaning. The latter is seen as connected to moral responsibility on the part of the individual along with a ‘collective’ vision that is open to contact with that part of reality that is ‘public’. The Journal aims to get those ‘opposites’ that find their expression in the separation of theory from clinical practice to converge in a single process.

The Journal is divided into different sections such as the column entitled Reviews. This is dedicated to particularly interesting and significant publications; whilst another column, Book Proposals, considers books that have been published, for the most part, during the six-month period preceding the relevant issue of the Journal. A further column, Open Pages, covers interesting topical subjects.

Contributions containing in-depth ideas that are not strictly clinical but, nevertheless, concern cultural themes that are of interest to analytical psychologists are gathered together in the section entitled Critical Readings.

Arts and Professions, was recently created for commentary on various types of events, including theatre, music, cinema and art exhibitions, from those who have benefitted from cultural performances that resonate with analytical psychology.

Thirty Lines on Jung, provides a springboard for reflection on the heritage of analytical psychology. Every issue of the Journal cites a few lines from Jung’s writings and invites all the Association’s members to develop and debate the topic in no more than thirty lines. The aim is to generate a varied, lively, succinctly incisive exchange that is centred on the corpus of Jungian theory.

PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLES

The Studi Junghiani is a peer-reviewed Journal and the ‘blind’ reading and selection of articles that are spontaneously submitted is a cornerstone of its editors’ work. The number of commissioned articles is kept to a minimum, which enables a reliable cross-section of the quality of theoretical research and clinical practice within AIPA gradually to emerge. Each article that has been submitted is evaluated through a process in which an editor must, after a debate on the merits of the work’s content, provide reasons for the ‘mark’ given to the piece. Editors not present at editorial meetings have to send their opinion in writing to the Editorial Committee before the next meeting. The post of ‘Tutor’ has been created to further the ever-improving collaboration between the Journal and authors. This allows for one of the editors to follow an article through the whole process from submission, acceptance, and review with the author until the definitive version is sent to the Editorial Committee.
CURRENT SITUATION

The current Editor-in-Chief is Gianni Nagliero, and the circulation is 400. The intention of the Journal is not confined to following established paths but, rather, to live a sense of the Jungian tradition in both its aspects of continuity and continuation. The latter is understood as movement and coming into being where tradition is kept alive by finding expression through a development of the very essence that is to be handed on. This has led to an approach by the Editorial Committee that pays equal attention to ideas developed both within and outside of AIPA.

![The Editorial Board: Patrizia Michinis, Chiara Rogora, Patrizia Peresso, Luisa Zoppi, Gianni Nagliero, Fulvia De Benedittis, Paola Cascino (Secretary), Franco Castellana, M.Giovanna Mazzone and Antonio De Rienzo (behind the camera).]

The Journal also seeks to maximize the riches to be found in the written material presented by candidates during the final assessment for admission to the Association as ordinary members. Besides featuring little-known cultural and scientific realities, the Journal fully respects the purpose for which it was created viz. offering a space for AIPA members of every category and degree of seniorty to compare experiences.

The Journal also welcomes original contributions from authors outside the Association, as well as translations of significant articles published in other languages. In 2011, as part of the preparation for the AIPA/CIPA Conference, the Journal dedicated several pages to articles by CIPA (Centro Italiano di Psicologia Analitica) colleagues. The aim was to stimulate shared reflections at the conference that followed.

This article presents Studi Junghiani as the institutional representation of an entire Association, which is itself undergoing a process of transformation that reflects not only the internal needs of AIPA itself but also collective drives towards new exchanges and the converging of new techniques.

The Newsheet thanks the Editorial Committee of Studi Junghiani and Antonio de Rienzo for their contributions to this article.
ART CORNER

Artist: Sue Smethurst

Winter on Hampstead Heath

Autumn in Burnham Beeches Wood

Poppy Field on Salisbury Plain
AROUND THE WORLD...

ZURICH

Meeting of the Executive Committee and Council of Societies
2-12 February 2013

In February of the year of the triennial IAAP Congress, a meeting of the IAAP Executive Committee and the IAAP Officers takes place. This meeting is followed by a meeting with the Council of Societies and the Parliamentarian for reports on the work of the current administration, a discussion on any issues pertaining running the Societies and to prepare matters that will be voted on by the Society Delegates at their Meeting during the Congress.

Photos: Don Williams

The Executive Committee and Officers at work
From left to right: Walter Boechat, Joe Cambray, George Hogenson, Alessandra De Coro
Jan Wiener, Toshio Kawai, Denis Ramos, John Desteian

Joe Cambray and Tom Kelly  Angela Connolly  Misser Berg  Walter Boechat
The Council of Societies arrives: Joe Cambray greets the Society Representatives and opens the meeting

The Executive Committee Reports to the Council of Societies

Joe Cambray, President IAAP  Tom Kelly, President Elect  Angela Connolly, Honorary Secretary

Marianne Müller, Vice President  Jan Wiener, Vice President  Murray Stein, Past President IAAP

The Parliamentarian
Colleagues from the world-wide IAAP community

The reports from the IAAP Officers and the Committees and Sub-Committees were followed by a lively, constructive discussion.

Madina Slutskaya, (RSAP, Russia)  Stacey Wirth (AGAP, Zurich)  Marilyn Matthews (IRSIJA, USA)  Penny Pickles (SAP, London)
On March 31st 2013 one of the oldest psychotherapy organisations in the United Kingdom, BAP (The British Association of Psychotherapists) will close after 62 years and re-emerge, joining with The LCP (London Centre for Psychotherapy) and the Lincoln Clinic and Centre for Psychotherapy to form the British Psychotherapy Foundation (BPF).

The BPF will contain, as the BAP did before it, four associations, so the British Jungian Analytic Association will sit alongside IPCAPA (The Independent Child and Adolescent Psychotherapy Association) the PPA (Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy Association) and the BPA (British Psychoanalytic Association). In many ways this merger is actually a reconnecting as both the LCP and Lincoln developed out of BAP. One of the joys of belonging to an organisation with a broad spectrum of theoretical interests is that we can explore a number of different theoretical viewpoints as well as thriving in our Jungian culture.

The BAP has been the analytic home of prominent Jungian analysts, notably Hester Solomon, past IAAP President. The Editor of the IAAP Newsletter and Newsheet, Emilija Kiehl, is also Jungian BAP member as is Steven Flower the Chair of the BPF, whose hard work in the years leading up to this moment is so much appreciated by us all.

On the 2nd of February 2013, members of all sections of the BAP met for the last time to celebrate the BAP as it comes to a close and we are looking forward to welcoming the birth of the BPF on the 31st March.

Marilyn Mathew,
Chair of the BJAA

Photos: Mary Cunnell
Thinking together:

Members of all BAP streams formed groups to discuss their feelings about the end of the old and the beginning of a new organisation.

Photo below: Ju Tomas-Merrills

Remembering old times and welcoming change. Jungians: Jeri Onitskansky, Mary Cunnell, Linda Brown & Emilija Kiehl.

Musical serenade:
left to right: Jessica Jarmon (administrator), Jungians: Julian Masters & Helen Morgan

Congratulations: BAP President, Steven Flower, awards Giorgio Giaccardi his certificate of membership

New Jungian members: Sue Smhurst and Giorgio Giaccardi
The NEW *Tao of Elvis* is just as beautiful! Godesses shows in every detail... I do know about God in the artist’s heart... and this book is indeed from that.”

Clarissa Pinkola Estés, author *Women Who Run with the Wolves*

---

**Rosenberry Books, etc.**

Elegant and totally Elvis!

- “Gold Lamé & silkscreen” cover
- Hemp binding, textured like strands of Elvis’s hair
- Flyleaves glitter with silver, silk and gold.

---

**Tao of Elvis**

David H Rosen, MD

Foreword by Thomas Moore

Introduction by Clarissa Pinkola Estés

and Diane Katz

---

With a scholar’s mind and an Elvis-fan’s heart, David H. Rosen, MD, illuminates the inner Elvis and the myth of Elvis. His essays parallel the words of the world’s most perceptive thinkers and the people who know Elvis best into 42 chapter themes, such as: “Mystery,” “Darling, Sorrow and Salvation,” and “Desire.”

Two New York Times best-selling authors have added to the new, elaborately illustrated, hand-bound edition:

- **Thomas Moore**, author of *Care of the Soul*, has joined us with a substantial foreword in which he asks pivotal questions about the destinies of Elvis and America.
- **Clarissa Pinkola Estés**, author of *Women Who Run with the Wolves*, has contributed a freewheeling afterword passionately expressing how Elvis’s “beautiful flame of life” ended the post-war world, and rock has still...
A Joint Jung/Lacan Conference

First Announcement and Call for Papers

St John’s College Cambridge, UK Friday

12th – Sunday 14th September 2014

The Notion of the Sublime

in Creativity and Destruction

Lionel Bailly, Bernard Burgoyne, Ann Casement, Phil Goss

One hundred years since the outbreak of The Great War, which radically changed many of the western world’s rational values and belief systems, this conference brings together scholars and psychoanalysts from different disciplines to explore, through a depth psychological lens, the forces of creativity and destruction enshrined in the notion of the sublime.

Conference to include:  

Keynote Lectures  
Papers by Delegates  
Breakout Sessions  
Posters

Accommodation and Meals: £355  
Registration fee: £200

(includes 2 nights accommodation and all meals throughout the conference)

Further details and registration forms from:

Barbara Ashworth, Jung/Lacan Secretariat, Cambridge Conferences, The Lawn, 33 Church Street, Great Shelford, Cambridge CB22 5EL, UK

bm.ashworth@tiscali.co.uk

Closing date for applications 30th June 2014

(places are limited and early application is advisable)

DEADLINE FOR PAPERS: 30th September 2013
CALL FOR TRANSLATORS

The IAAP is hoping to set up a team of translators, to translate documents and letters from English into the official languages of the IAAP: German, French, Italian and Spanish.

Any IAAP member who is interested and has experience in translating, should contact the Honorary Secretary, Angela Connolly at angdragosei@yahoo.com, giving their e-mail address and stating which language or languages they wish to work in.

Note from the Editor: may we remind all our members to check and update your information on the website database. For any difficulties with this please contact our Webmaster Don Williams at: dwilliam@earthnet.net.

Cartoon: Gottfried M. Heuer