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Dear Colleagues,

It is now one year since you received the last issue of the IAAP News Sheet.

The present issue marks a new practice regarding edition, as the Officers have decided to take turns as editors. Since the beginning, the News Sheet has been excellently edited by Emilija Kiehl. I will humbly take over the role this time and try to live up to the previous high standard.

This issue of the News Sheet will reflect the most important event for the IAAP in 2019 - the tri-annual Congress. It will thus be a special edition, but most of the sections will still follow the table of contents that we know so well:

The usual section “From the Executive Committee” will here be a presentation of the new administration; the sections on Society Profile and Developing Group Profile will this time comprise a short report from each of the newly elected Group Members, and instead of the interview that normally features in the News Sheet, five participants from all over the world have written reports on their impressions of the Congress. The celebrations on the last day of the Congress will also have its own section.

This time the space available has not allowed the valuable section on Jungian Trainings, but as usual, the News Sheet will end with a section on ”Around the World” and the usual section with Announcements.

In terms of participation, the Vienna Congress exceeded all expectations. Already when the Program Committee, chaired by George Hogenson, received the proposals, the number was remarkably higher than previously. Around 1200 participants attended the Congress, the highest number in the IAAP’s history.

In her welcome speech at the reception on Sunday evening at the Vienna City Hall, Marianne Müller expressed it this way:

"The large number of participants who have registered for this Congress has exceeded all our expectations. I am sure this is largely due to the great attractiveness of this city, its history and central meaning for Europe. To see the famous paintings of Klimt and Kokoschka in their home country, to spend time where Beethoven and Mozart used to live, etc. etc. is a great experience.

But I am sure that there are also other reasons why so many of us have come to Vienna. We do hope of course your decision might, to a large extent, also have to do with the theme and the program of
From the Welcome Reception at the beautiful Town Hall in Vienna

our Congress. I suppose the impressing number of participants also testifies that our community is expanding. It is a pleasure to be a witness to this development and to the growing interest in Analytical Psychology and to have exchanges with colleagues from all over the world.”

Marianne Müller continued: "Now, we are here where Freud and Jung met over 112 years ago. It was a most creative encounter and the beginning of a comprehensive work which we are today still part of. We have chosen for this Congress the theme: Encountering the other, within us, between us and in the world. It fits, of course, well to what happened to these two great personalities. But this encounter happens to us also today and every day. It is a part of our existence, the private and professional one, but also of our life in the IAAP community.”

We owe our deep gratitude to the Program Committee, chaired by George Hogenson, to the local Organising Committee, co-chaired by Åsa Liljenroth-Denk and Petra Denk, and not least to Marianne Müller who with her tireless energy worked so hard to make the Vienna Congress such a huge success.

On behalf of the IAAP Officers, I wish all our colleagues around the world a happy and fulfilling 2020!

Warm regards,

Misser Berg

Editor of the December 2019 News Sheet
At the Meeting of Delegates on Wednesday, August 28, 2019, the new members of the IAAP administration were elected for the next three years.

Here, each of the members will present him- or herself.

**IAAP OFFICERS**

**Toshio Kawai,**
*President (Japan)*

is a professor at the Kokoro Research Center, Kyoto University. He is also a Jungian analyst. He was educated in clinical psychology at Kyoto University and in philosophical psychology at Zurich University where he received a Ph.D. in 1987. He obtained his diploma from the C.G. Jung Institute Zurich in 1990. He has published numerous articles and book chapters in English, German, and Japanese. He was member of the Program Committee for the Congresses in 2004 and 2007 and a member of the IAAP Executive Committee from 2007 – 2013, where after he became Vice-President, and from 2013 – 2016 President-Elect. Toshio has among other functions served as co-chair of the Academic Sub-Committee, Chair of the Group Member Application Sub-Committee and Finance Officer.

**Misser Berg,**
*President-Elect (Denmark),* was elected as Individual Member of IAAP in 1992. She is a co-founder of the Danish Society for Analytical Psychology, DSAP and was President of DSAP from 2000 - 2005. She is a Training and Supervising Analyst at the C.G. Jung Institute, Copenhagen, where she served as the Director of Training from 2005-2019. Misser was a member of the Executive Committee of the IAAP from 2010-2013, Honorary Secretary for President Tom Kelly from 2013 – 2016, and Vice president of the IAAP from 2016. Misser is now Chair of the IAAP Education Committee, Regional Organiser for Central Europe and Other, Chair of the Group Member Application Sub-Committee and Finance Officer. Misser Berg has a private practice North of Copenhagen. Besides this she teaches and examines in both Denmark and abroad.

**Yasuhiro Tanaka,**
*Honorary Secretary (Japan)*

did his training at the C. G. Jung Institute of Zurich. He was Honorary Secretary of the Association of Jungian Analysts, Japan (AJAJ) from 2005 to 2019, and has been Director of Studies since 2002. He is now a senior analyst, chair of the examination committee of the
Emilija Kiehl
Vice-president
(United Kingdom)
is a training analyst and former chair of the British Jungian Analytic Association (BJAA). She is a senior member of the British Psychotherapy Foundation (bpf). Emilija works in private practice in London and teaches at the joint bpf/Birkbeck University MSc course in the Psychodynamics of Human Development where she also earned her MSc. Emilija has served two terms as the BJAA representative on the IAAP Executive Committee from 2013 – 2019 and has worked on the Publications & Communications Sub-committee, the Translation Working Party, Resources and Communications Working Group, Fund raising Sub-committee, the Academic Sub-committee. She has chaired the Publications & Communications Sub-committee and the Effectiveness Research Working Party. In this administration, she co-chairs with Yasuhiro Tanaka, the Publications & Communications Sub-Committee. Since 2009, Emilija has been the editor of a number of IAAP publications. She is a past Book Review Editor for the Spring Journal and is currently a board member the Journal of Analytical Psychology (JAP) as Journal Reviews Editor. She teaches in the United Kingdom and abroad.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Alvaro Ancona de Faria
(SBRPA, Brazil)
is a member of the Brazilian Society of Analytical Psychology, where
Patricia Berry (IRSJA, USA) went to Zurich in 1966 attending classes as an auditor. She taught at the American High School in Kilchberg, became a manuscript editor for Spring Publications. She graduated from the original C.G. Jung Institute Zurich (Gemeindestrasse. 27) in 1975, and joined AGAP. On her return to the U.S. in 1978, she also joined the Inter-Regional Society of Jungian Analysts (IRSJA), became chair of the Evaluation & Review Committee, then in 1989 served as President of that society. Later, after having moved to the East Coast she joined the Boston Institute (New England Society of Jungian Analysts). In 1984 she served as president of NESJA. In 2011 she once again became president of the IRSJA. In 2002 she moved to Brunswick, Maine where she served a couple years on the Board of that Jung Society. In 2015 she moved to California where she taught as Adjunct Professor at Meridian University as well as California Institute of Integral Studies in San Francisco. In 2017 she moved to Carpinteria, where she now teaches as Adjunct Professor at Pacifica Graduate Institute. She remains a proud member of the IRSJA and is honored to represent them on the Exec Com of the IAAP.

Fred Borchardt (SAAJA, South Africa) is the representative from the Southern African Association of Jungian Analysts. He holds an MA degree in Psychology from Rhodes University, and is a registered Counselling Psychologist. He started his career as a prison psychologist in the S A Correctional Services in 1986 and was later utilized as a negotiator for this department in the transition towards a new political dispensation in South Africa in 1995. He left the service in the State in 1997 to open a full-time private practice in Cape Town. He trained as a Jungian Analyst with SAAJA and is currently a training analyst in the society. He will step down as President of SAAJA in the middle of 2020. His current professional interest is the ongoing dialogue between Jungian analysts and Traditional African Healing Practitioners. For relaxation he enjoys messing around with woodwork and making music.

Gražina Gudaitė (LAPA, Lithuania) is a member of the Education Committee, Regional Organizer for Eastern Europe, Chair of Research and...
Chie Lee
(CGJILA, Los Angeles, USA). Chie is an analyst member of the C. G. Jung Institute of Los Angeles, where she completed her training in 1999. Chie was the President of CGJILA from 2010 to 2012. She is a faculty member and supervisor, and active in evaluative as well as other committees. Chie has been the Co-Chair of the Committee that oversees L A Institute’s C.G. Jung Bookstore and The Max and Lore Zeller Library, as well as a member of Board of the Archive for Research in Archetypal Symbolism (ARAS). From 2013, Chie began giving lectures, providing analysis, group and individual supervision in China, Macau, Hong Kong and Taiwan. She has, and continues to, be the IAAP Liaison Person for Taiwan. Chie has published articles in Psychological Perspectives on Chinese Contemporary Art, The Legend of the White Snake and book reviews. She lives with her husband, Steve, and their black Aussie-doodle Bao-Bei in Beverly Hills.

William Kotsch
(NMSJA, New Mexico, USA) is president of the Jung Institute of Santa Fe and a member of the Inter Regional Society. He is a licensed clinical psychologist in the state of New Mexico practicing in Santa Fe and Taos. He earned his PhD from Vanderbilt University and completed his analytic training at the Chicago Institute.

Andreas Michel
(CGJIZ, Zurich, Switzerland), Andreas is a member of the Fundraising Sub-Committee and the Internal-Audit team in this administration. Andreas is a senior training analyst, supervisor, lecturer and vice-president (as of 1.4.20) of the Curatorium (Board of Trustees) of the C.G. Jung Institute Zurich. He began his studies there 25 years ago after a career in the finance industry as a political scientist. In the past he served the Jungian Community in different functions, i.e. as a member of the Ethics Committee or head of the Admissions Committee. Andreas is also involved with the Router Program. He lives with his family near Zurich, where he also has his private practice.
Brigit Soubrouillard  
(SFPA, France)
Brigit serves for a second term on the EC. Her background is multiple both geographically and field-wise. In a «previous life» she graduated in political science and law in France, and later enrolled in a psychology program while working in the United States. She holds a Ph.D. in clinical psychology with a doctoral dissertation in ethnopsychology. She later studied at the Jung Institut in Zurich where she graduated from the double program of adult analysis and children and adolescents analysis in 1997. She was the president of the French society from June 2015 to June 2019. She is also the founder and the president of the Centre Européen d’Etudes Jungiennes in Strasbourg. She is interested in developing creative ways to work with images, and in other ways analytical psychology can positively contribute to nourish the soul in our postmodern world. She is also very keen to promote projects and exchanges among French speaking analysts of all IAAP groups.

Luisa Zoppi, PhD,  
(AIPA, Italy) 
is a clinical psychologist and a training analyst with AIPA. She has worked for many years in empirical research on Psychosomatics using Referential Activity, with the aim of investigating the relationship between trauma, emotion processing and physiological functioning of individuals. She has also given lectures on this subject at the University of Rome “Sapienza”. She has been published in a number of journals. She has lectured for several years on “The Theory of Complexes and Dissociative States of the Mind” at AIPA. She works as an analyst in a private practice in Rome.

IAAP ETHICS COMMITTEE

Tom Kelly  
(Canada)
was appointed Chair of the Ethics Committee for this IAAP administration. Tom is past-president of the International Association for Analytical Psychology (IAAP) and is currently co-editor-in-chief of the Journal of Analytical Psychology. He is a senior training analyst, supervisor and past-president of the Inter-Regional Society of Jungian Analysts (IRSJA) and past-president of the Council of North American Societies of Jungian Analysts (CNASJA).

Issues having to do with analytic training have always been a central concern for Tom. During his term of office with CNASJA, Tom initiated the yearly meeting of the Directors of Training of the North American Societies and chaired these meetings for twenty years.

Tom has been actively engaged in teaching and lecturing in many Developing Groups of the IAAP around the world for many years. He also serves on the Advisory Committee of the Institute of Analytical Psychology and Psychodrama in Italy. Tom completed his training as a Jungian analyst at the C.G. Jung Institute in Zurich in 1986. He lives and has a private practice in Montreal, Canada.
NEW GROUP MEMBERS ELECTED AT THE CONGRESS

At the Meeting of Delegates the following IAAP Group Members were elected:

Association of Analytical Psychologists, Russia (AAPR) - GM with Training Status
Association of Jungian Analysts in Poland (AJAP) - GM without Training Status
Chilean Society of Analytical Psychology (SCPA) - GM with Training Status
China Society for Analytical Psychology (CSAP) - GM without Training Status
Colombian Society of Jungian Analysts (SCAJ) - GM with Training Status
Czech Institute for Analytical Psychology (CIAP) - GM with Training Status
Taiwan Society of Analytical Psychology (TSAP) - GM without Training Status
Ukrainian Jungian Association (UJA) - GM without Training Status

On the following pages, each of the new GM will give a presentation of themselves.
Association of Analytical Psychologists, Russia (AAPR)

Two for us very important events took place this year, and they are closely interconnected: The fantastic Congress in Vienna 2019 with plenty of very interesting presentations and the birth of our long awaited St. Petersburg new Jungian community in Russia.

As soon as our Association (AAPR, St. Petersburg) was accepted as IAAP Group Member with Training Status at the Congress in Vienna, we announced the dates for Screening Interviews in November. A group of three analysts, independent representatives from three different IAAP societies (AGAP, RSAP and AAPR) interviewed 26 applicants and recommended 24 candidates for training. All the candidates had pre-training group supervision practice for about 2 years and 5 supervision groups have now started their work.

We are happy that now colleagues from our group have a complete structure for bringing up qualified specialists in analytical psychology – two institutes teaching Basic Course in AP, the program for GPD (Groups of Professional Development) – as a pre-training process and Training as the final step for certification.

The end of the year is full of activity. Traditionally we have our regular annual Jungian Conference in January. For twenty years, since we started in 2000, there has been a growing interest in Analytical psychology. In January 24 – 26, 2020 our conference will be on the subject: “Jungian Identity”.

The Church of Our Saviour on Spilled Blood
Online participation will be possible as many colleagues, candidates and students come from different cities of Russia as well as from other countries.

Among the participants who plan to present at the conference, we are happy to welcome Andrew Samuels (SAP, England) and Marina Conti (AGAP, Italy). The conference will include a plenary and discussion, workshops and Social Dream Matrix. Group supervisions will be held by Russian and IAAP analysts from abroad. Online stream participation will be available and followed by supply of recording as well as e-Certificates.

Our English speaking colleagues may read the information about the conference on our Website: https://aapr.info/

This year has been very busy, packed with work, events and emotions for the Jungian analysts in St. Petersburg

We appreciate the assistance of our IAAP colleagues – Marianne Müller, Toshio Kawai, Misser Berg, Tom Kelly, Gert Sauer, Jan Wiener, Catherine Crowther and many other analysts from different parts of the world who helped us develop Jungian Psychology in Russia.

Valery Trofimov, President
Tatiana Rudakova, Training director
Olga Chetverikova, Secretary

*The Winter-Palace, seen from the River Neva*
Association of Jungian Analysts in Poland (AJAP)

The Association of Jungian Analysts in Poland (AJAP) was established in November 2018 by six qualified jungian analysts: Mirosław Giza, Jolanta Kowal, Maria Łokaj, Bartosz Samitowski, Krystyna Węgłowska-Rzepa and Ewa Winkler, Individual Members of the IAAP with a background in the Polish Association for Analytical Psychology (PTPA).

All AJAP members are experienced in clinical practice, conducting psychotherapy courses, Jungian lectures and didactic work, as well as having been active for many years in building and managing the PTPA and general development of analytical psychology in Poland.

The intention behind forming a new society was to apply for a Group Member status at the XXI International Congress of the IAAP in Vienna. After many months of hard work, this plan was completed successfully in August 2019 at the Congress in Vienna, when AJAP, as the first society in Poland, received a Member Group status.

Before the Congress, three more members with a background in the Polish Association for Jungian Analysis (PAJA), joined the AJAP: Jacek Marszałek as full member and Mira Marszałek and Katarzyna Cyranek as associate members. After her final exam at the Congress, Mira Marszałek became a full member.

Thus, AJAP currently has eight regular members and one associate member. The Societies’ headquarter is in Warsaw, but members live and work in various cities: Warsaw, Wroclaw, Katowice and Cracow.

We find our new IAAP Group Member status a big success and the result of twenty years of cooperation between Polish candidates and then analysts, and the representatives of IAAP.
We strongly believe that it is a big step towards establishing the first Jungian institute in Poland in the near future. We are open to cooperation with other IAAP Group Members and other related organizations.

The goals of the AJAP include:

- Establishing, in cooperation with the IAAP, the first Jungian institute in Poland capable of training future Jungian analysts
- Promoting analytical psychology in Poland
- Integration of Jungian analysts in Poland
- Supporting professional development in clinical practice
- Providing support to trainees in analytical psychology
- Supporting academic work related to analytical psychology

AJAP e-mail: saj@analitycyjungowscy.pl
AJAP website: http://analitycyjungowscy.pl

AJAP and representatives from the IAAP meeting in Warsaw.
Back row left to right: Bartosz Samitowski, Jacek Marszałek, Miroslaw Giza, Marianne Müller, Misser Berg. Front row: Jolanta Kowal, Mira Marszałek and Ewa Winkler
Chilean Society of Analytical Psychology (SCPA)

At the International Congress of Analytical Psychology Vienna, 2019, the Chilean Society of Analytical Psychology –SCPA was accepted as IAAP Group Member with Training Status.

We are thirteen Chilean Analysts: Mariana Arancibia, María Paz Ábalos, Claudia Grez, Arlette Guillet, Alejandro Igor, Marilene Rodríguez, Marcela Godoy, Amelia Herrera, Carolina Mora, María Teresa Mujica, Nancy Paulsen, Bárbara Plascencia and Susana Toloza, president of SCPA. There are also 9 analyst candidates, who are preparing their final exams in 2020. They have had a very intense training regimen that included weekly supervisions, personal analysis and attendance in courses given by the SCPA. There are also 56 collaborating members (not Analysts) in the SCPA, most of whom hold a master’s degree in Analytical Psychology, or a compatible training, and they actively participate in the different activities of the society.

We are involved in social networks in www.scpa.cl and its connection with facebook and instagram, and thus enhancing the dissemination of our activities and publications.

Between years 2017 and 2019 we have activated the participation and integration of the members of our society into this new collective identity. We have biannual conferences where they presented several theoretical works and workshops with the themes of “Identity and diversity in Chile”; in 2017 we had the 6th Conference of Analytical Psychology and the theme was “Identity and Diversity in Chile: We and the Others in search of Otherness”. There were high quality presentations on the themes related to gender, violence, indigenous people, relationship and otherness.

In November 2019 we had the 7th Conference of Analytical Psychology entitled “Psyche and Cosmos, signs and symbols of transformation”. On this occasion we explored the social outbreak and crisis and violence in Chile in order to gain an understanding of its different dimensions from the analytical perspective. At this conference, there was a presentation of volume III of our publication “Tematikas Junguianas”, which contains articles by different members of our society.

Pictures on the right are of members of SCPA. From top and down: Mariana Arancibia, María Paz Ábalos, Claudia Grez, Arlette Guillet, Alejandro Igor, Marilene Rodríguez, Marcela Godoy, Amelia Herrera, Carolina Mora. The list continues on the next page
Our next challenges are to study the relationship between analytical psychology and pre-Hispanic cultures in Chile such as the Mapuche and Aymara culture and to get to know the symbols and myths which are at the base of our cultural identity. We also want to promote Jungian thought in the academy and to integrate it with other disciplines and, finally, to strengthen our work and collaboration links with other societies in Latin America and the CLAPA.

Our Society has developed an academic program for the 2020 to start training new analyst candidates, which has attracted a lot of interest.
China Society for Analytical Psychology (CSAP)

China Society for Analytical Psychology (CSAP) is located in Guangzhou, close to Baiyun Mountain and the Lu Lake, a beautiful place of nature. In mainland China, there are now twelve IAAP Individual Members, and forty two routers.

The development of CSAP started 20 years ago when, in 1993, Heyong Shen contacted Thomas Kirsch and Murray Stein in the United States, which was followed by an IAAP official visit to China in 1994. Supported and sponsored by the IAAP, the first International Conference of Analytical Psychology and Chinese Culture was held in 1998, and founded the Oriental Institute for Analytical Psychology. This led to the subsequent formation of the Guangzhou Developing Group.

Over the 20 years, we have held 8 International Conferences of Analytical Psychology and Chinese Culture (sponsored by IAAP). Sponsored by the Swiss Government, since 2006, we have also held the “China Jung Week” at the main China universities, such as the Beijing University, Fudan University, and South China Normal Universities.

Since Thomas Kirsch and Murray Stein, other IAAP Officers and many other international Jungian analysts took part in the development of Analytical Psychology in China. Along the way, many Chinese scholars and philosophers, including the best scholars of I Ching, Taoist, Buddhism, and Chinese Traditional Medicine, joined with us in this work.

We have set up institutes for analytical psychology at some universities (such as SCNU, Fudan, CityU), promoted the academic research, have translated into Chinese and published over 100 books by Jung and Jungian scholars, including the Collected Works of C. G. Jung. We have founded the Chinese Journal for Analytical Psychology, published quarterly since 2015.
Supported by IAAP, we set up the Project of Garden of the Heart & Soul for public welfare, to support the psychological development of orphans in the mainland of China, and psychological relief work for the victims of earthquakes.

Our members have actively participated in the IAAP conferences and International Jungian activities since 1995. There were about 30 Chinese participants at the Vienna Conference, some of whom participated in volunteer work, and the Morning Taiji practice.

We sincerely welcome our international Jungian friends to come and visit China, for teaching, supervision, and support of CSAP, to continue our task of building the bridge between the East and West Psychology, and continue the legacy of C.G. Jung and Richard Wilhelm. As Jung said, “we must continue Wilhelm’s work of translation in a wider sense if we wish to show ourselves worthy pupils of the master. The central concept of Chinese philosophy is tao, which Wilhelm translated as ‘meaning.’ Just as Wilhelm gave the spiritual treasure of the East a European meaning, so we should translate this meaning into life. To do this—that is, to realize tao—would be the true task of the pupil.”
Colombian Society of Jungian Analysts (SCAJ)

At the 2019 Congress held in Vienna, we were certified as an IAAP Group Member with Training Status. This was the result of a long process that started in the early 2000’s, when a group of Colombian psychologists gathered around a common interest: the Jungian world.

From that beginning, a lot of water has passed under the bridge. We have learned (and still continue learning) from the obstacles and challenges that we have passed through. It has not been a Rose Garden, but nowadays we can say that each step has been worthy and significant for the achievement of our new role.

We have profound gratitude to our allies that have been part of this success: Tom Kelly, our liaison persons Luigi Zoja and Alvaro Ancona, our analysts and supervisors Mariana Arancibia, Nancy Sarquis, Gonzalo Himiob, Trudy Bendayán, Eva Pattis and Eduardo Carvallo. Without them, most of us who were trained thanks to the IAAP Routers Program, could not have gotten to this point.

Today, we are a group of twelve enthusiastic analysts who enrich our clinical point of view with our different backgrounds. Beside the analysts who trained as part of the Router’s Program, some of us have completed our training in different countries: Brazil and/or Venezuela; others have had the opportunity to enhance their skills in different programs in foreign countries. We are Ana María Salazar, Eduardo Carvallo, Fernando Garlín, Inés de la Ossa, Juan Carlos Alonso, Laury López, Lisímaco Henao, María Camila Mora, María Claudia Munévar, María Patricia Quijano, Mónica Pinilla y Ruth Mendoza.

Leaving aside our archetypal and typological differences, all of us agree about the importance of the promise of a training program as Jungian Analysts with the highest levels of quality and professionalism, and the commitment to our countrymen and those who can come from our neighboring countries, interested in being part of the great Jungian family.
Czech Institute for Analytical Psychology (CIAP)

This year at the XXI. IAAP Congress in Vienna, our Institute was both blessed and honoured by being certified as an IAAP Group Member with Training Status in the Czech Republic.

We have reached this milestone after years of arduous work and development of a training programme for Czech psychotherapists. Beginning with several IM’s, The Czech Society for Analytical Psychology (ČSAP) was founded and became an IAAP Developing Group. As more and more IAAP routers became IM’s, our Society began to build an Institute for further studies of future analysts. Shortly after a milestone of 20 years of the existence of the IAAP Developing Group, there is now an IAAP Training Group Member, with a fine tuned study programme, that will have the autonomy to train Jungian analysts.

To present some specific and interesting facts about our group, the heart of the Czech Jungian psychology didn’t open in Prague, as many would guess, but in Moravia. As this suggests, our core is in Moravia, Brno, but we also have a base in Prague.

Members of the Jungian community have long since participated in numerous book translations in the field of analytical psychology, which helped us to build a considerable library of books and journals in English and German, but notably in Czech in a remarkable number, for a country of our size.

Now, as we start putting our training programme into motion, there are new goals and aspirations that we can set our sights on. Our analysts have a wide scope of interests, which will forge further paths for our institute to grow. One of our objectives is to work with psychotherapists in Slovakia to further disseminate the interest and knowledge in Jungian psychology. We see a big potential in this cooperation. At this stage we are already working together on some basic training and it would be our pleasure to assist our colleagues to possibly join ranks in the IAAP membership base in the future.
Taiwan Society of Analytical Psychology (TSAP)

Taiwan Society of Analytical Psychology (TSAP) was affiliated with the International Association for Analytical Psychology (IAAP) in August 2019. Since 2010, the Taiwan Developing Group (Taiwan D.G.) had appointed two designated Liaison Officers: Thomas B. Kirsch and Chie Lee. During that period, Liza J. Ravitz also worked as an appointed analyst for the group.

In the last decade, with the support and assistance from IAAP, FAJP and Taiwan Institute of Psychotherapy, Taiwan D.G. has held a Jungian International Conference, ”The Red Book, I Ching, East-West Dialogues” whilst holding various workshops, lectures, individual consultations and group consultations hosting by analysts around the world.

These renowned analysts include Jean Kirsch, Toshio Kawai, Audrey Punnett, Steve Zemmelman, Angela Mary Connolly, Marta Tibaldi, Paul Kugler, Joe Cambray, Murray Stein, John Beebe, Brian Feldman, Shirley S. Y. Ma, Andrew Samuels and Thomas Singer. During the time, Taiwan D.G. also collaborated with PsyGarden, a local publishing company, in translating numerous publications regarding Jungian psychology. Since 2010, Our chairman is Hao-Wei Wang, he is the chairman of TSAP, too.

Up to the present day, TSAP consists of thirteen analysts, three of whom are psychiatrists, one is a clinical psychologist and nine are counseling psychologists. In terms of gender, two of the analysts are male, eleven are female. Agewise, the TSAP analysts fall within the 40-60 age range, but mostly are over 45 years old. All analyst members of TSAP have accumulated ten to twenty-five years of teaching or clinical exper-
ences before becoming an analyst. This means that the members of TSAP all have ample basic psychotherapy training and clinical experiences. Among the 13 members, their specialties are trauma related issues, sandplay therapy (two of whom are teaching members of ISST), expressive art therapy. Many of them work with children and adolescents. As an international body, the current members were trained in Taiwan, Zurich, London, San Francisco and New York. This helps TSAP hold a diverse viewpoint towards the discussions on theoretical and clinical works.
Ukrainian Jungian Association (UJA)

The first meeting of all individual members in Ukraine took place on October, 2016. Graduates of router programs in Moscow - Dmitry Zalessky (IM 2010) and in Kyiv - Elizaveta Molostova (IM 2013), Inna Krylyuk (IM 2013), Mark Kryukov (IM 2016), Olga Sikorskaya (IM 2016), Serhiy Teklyuk (IM 2016), Tatyana Pervushina (IM 2016), Yuliia Sharipova (Kobzieva) (IM 2016), Yuriy Danko (IM 2016) teamed up to create a professional community.

The first General Meeting of the members of the new Ukrainian Jungian Association (UJA) was held and the UJA Board was elected in January, 2017: President Yuliia Sharipova, Vice President Inna Krylyuk, Secretary Olga Sikorskaya, Treasurer Serhiy Teklyuk. The great loss for us was the tragic death of our colleague Mark Kryukov in August, 2017. We remember and honor his contribution to the creation a new community.

The registration of UJA in Ukraine was completed in October, 2017. Next, we began to work on the preparation of documents for obtaining the UJA Group Membership of IAAP.

Difficulties in the socio-political life of Ukraine had a significant impact on the formation of a collegial atmosphere. Conflicts actively shook UJA. However, with the support of the IAAP, a compromise was made and a balance was created so that the new community was recognized as the IAAP Group Member in Vienna, 2019.

The development of the analytical field in Ukraine is still taking place against the backdrop of intense socio-political upheavals. The collective energies of the country are manifested in the dynamics of the development of UJA. The result of these processes was the decision of Dmitry Zalessky and Yuriy Danko to leave our community. But also the new IM, Anna Mitsuk, became part of the UJA after Vienna.

Currently, UJA includes 7 analysts who are united by the desire to create a training community in Ukraine in the future. The members of the UJA have different profes-

From top left to right: Olga Sikorskaya, Inna Krylyuk, Anna Mitsuk, Yuliia Sharipova, Elizaveta Molostova, Tatiana Pervushina and Serhiy Teklyuk
sional experience as analysts and supervisors and continue to be active in parallel with the work of creating a community for all three years.

Here below is a presentation of the members of UJA:

**Anna Mitsuk**, a psychologist, has a private analytical practice.

**Elizaveta Molostova**, a psychologist, has a private analytical practice, the author of regular trainings for children analytically oriented psychologists. Also has membership in RSAP, Moscow.

**Inna Kyrylyuk**, psychologist, PhD in Psychology (2007), has a private analytical practice, the author of regular trainings for analytically oriented psychologists working with children and adults, as well as training on the training of Sandplay therapists. Head of the private Center for Analytical Psychology. Presentation “Anorexia as a negative body acting out in adolescence” for scientific-practical conference “Between two worlds: the phenomenon of the game in childhood and adult analysis”, Kyiv, Ukraine, 2019. Lecture “Anorexia - acting out at the bodily level: body, psyche, ritual” conference of the Jungian analysis journal “Symbolic Thinking and Transcendent Function”, Moscow, Russia, 2019. Lecture “On some difficulties in the analytical work with a teenager with the negative transference. Clinical presentation: a threat to the setting ”the First International Jungian Conference on Child and Adolescent Analysis, Moscow, Russia, 2019. Lecture “Experiencing ambivalence under collective trauma” III Conference - Trialogue, Kloster Lehnin, Potsdam, Germany, 2019. Speaker part of the lecture “Bridging the Inner and the Outer” in the general lecture “If the Master was a Woman ... Bridging East and West, the Past and the Future, the Inner and the Outer.” Authors: ECECJA - Eastern and Central European Community of Jungian Analysts at the 4th European Analytical Congress of the IAAP in Avignon, France, 2018. The article “Reflection of the trauma of the child-substitute in the observer position” journal “Jungian analysis”, No. 1 (28) 2017, MAAP, Moscow, Russia. Article “Anorexia - acting out at the bodily level: body, psyche, ritual” Jungian Analysis Magazine, No. 4 2019, MAAP, Moscow, Russia.

**Olga Sikorskaya**, a psychiatrist, has private analytical practice, the author of seminars ”Typology of K.G. Jung” for analytically oriented psychologists.

**Serhiy Teklyuk**, psychiatrist, PhD in Psychiatry, psychotherapist, has a private analytical practice, Associate Professor of the Department of Psychiatry, Narcology and Psychotherapy with a postgraduate course at National Pirogov Memorial Medical University, Vinnytsia. Scientific publications:

**Tatyana Pervushina**, a psychologist, has a private analytical practice, the author of seminars of analytical psychology for analytically oriented psychologists.

**Yuliia Sharipova**, psychiatrist, psychotherapist, has a private analytical practice, the author of a series of seminars and a training project of analytical psychology for analytically oriented psychologists.

Speaker part of the lecture “Feminine in the Shadow” in the general lecture “If the Master was a Woman ... Bridging East and West, the Past and the Future, the Inner and the Outer.” Authors: ECECJA - Eastern and Central European Community of Jungian Analyst at the 4th European Analytical Congress of the IAAP in Avignon, France, 2018.

UJA continues to move towards the creation of professional training in Ukraine. The theory and practice of the analytic approach supports the members of the UJA in an authentic development movement and in accordance with the UJA and the IAAP Code of Ethics.

Yuliia Sharipova, President, UJA
MY CONGRESS

written by Gulmira Yelgonova, DG of Kazakhstan

Two months have passed since the IAAP Congress in Vienna, and there are so many emotions and feelings in my memory. And the most basic ones are a feeling of warmth and deep appreciation.

Our group took part in the World Congress for the first time, and therefore there was a lot of excitement. We had nothing to compare with, pure uncomplicated perception.

At the congress venue, the University of Vienna, known for its history and names, I was immediately captivated by its beauty and energy, simply a magical combination of freedom and organization.

We came and immediately plunged into the atmosphere of the Congress.

On the first day of the pre-congress, there were supervisory groups offered by K. Asper and V. Kast. These were very useful and necessary. We saw different working styles, high professionalism, mastery of group dynamics.

The plenary presentations were very voluminous and involving. A variety of topics, the depth of approaches left a desire to return to them again and again. In the evenings we discussed the events of the day and tried to collect images in order to save the memory to bring home.

The congress title, “Encountering the Other: Within us, between us and in the world”, describes well the internal dynamics of the psyche in the modern world. These constant discoveries of Others are especially important in our analytical work. It was the diversity of Others that was so vividly shown in the Congress.

The first presentation by N. Kermani “From Ibn Arabi to K.G. Jung” instantly created a certain atmosphere of synthesis of East and West. This was unexpected for us, who came to the center of Europe for European knowledge because we live in Kazakhstan, a country of the synthesis of eastern and western worlds.

A finely tuned program immersed us in other states and made it possible to have a feeling experience of the Other. D. Kalshed’s paper allowed us to have a felt experience of his personal meeting with the Other through his description of a session with an analysand as well as that of his own experience of therapy.

Chiara Tozzi showed us through the creations of Jung and Stephen King how it is possible to meet the dark Other differently through images and symbols that arise and how to work with them.

It is impossible to mention all the stimulating presentations with so much important and useful material at the disposal of the participants of the Congress. The
generous offer of various topics and meetings made us worry about how to choose in order to be on time everywhere.

Of course, the most emotionally charged point was the moment we officially became members of the IAAP at the final meeting. This was a very solemn and touching moment for us. We stood on the stage with many new analysts and the whole audience applauded enthusiastically and loudly. We had a wonderful feeling of completion of the initiation.

Every day of the Congress, in a beautiful old building of the University of Vienna, Jungians of different ages, different nations and different degrees of professionalism walked around, sat, talked and exchanged. It was wonderful. The most important and unforgettable experience for me was the feeling of warmth that the Congress participants generously shared among themselves.

Many years ago, when I was just starting my immersion in Jung’s world, I had a dream: “I'm coming to some European city, I go into an old building, I see an open door and through it I pass into a huge hall filled with people. I see a stage, on it a speaker similar to Jung. A lovely middle-aged blonde woman invited me to sit down. The presentation had begun. Then I go outside, near a park, and in the park, there is a playground with radial paths and in the center the attraction is a spyglass. I looked into it and saw a wonderful landscape. I then went to the hills covered with grass and low trees, the buzzing of insects, the clear blue sky, along an endless path.”

In reality, this road led me to Vienna. I went into this building and it all happened. This trip to the Congress was a very important stage in my life. There was both transformation and initiation.

Sincerity, depth of immersion, experience, the ability to just talk, smiles, hugs - this is what the Vienna Congress calls to mind for me.

Many thanks to the organizers of the Congress!

Gratitude and appreciation to our beloved Misser Berg for her warm and gentle support.
We brought precious luggage to our local DG, and have already begun to process the papers.
Now we will wait for the next Congress and future meetings.

Dear congress organizers! Let me express my deep appreciation and gratitude. During these 6 days of congress and pre-congress, I gained invaluable experience. Attending seminars and lectures contributed to the expansion of the internal space, which made it possible to understand speakers at some unconscious level. At the same time, my poor knowledge of English was confronted with awareness and acceptance of my own limitations. Thanks so much for creating such a great space of opportunity!

Respectfully, Olga Igumnova (DG of Kazakhstan)
IMPRESSIONS: IAAP XXI CONGRESS AT VIENNA

Harry Wells Fogarty, JPA

Throughout the week the disjunct between history and present beauty haunted me. Much of what I saw was a replica, rebuilt, and stunningly beautiful. The past, nonetheless, was just below the surface, and even jarringly before one, such as Judenplatz Holocaust Memorial, a massive block of thousands of incomplete “books” giving testament to victims, and inviting awakening. This same past and tension was upfront at the Congress, with its presentation of Der Fall Jagerstatter.

Additionally, for me, in subtle and direct ways the very endeavor to engage the “other” is implicitly an experience of what is disjunctive, even alien. Such efforts at engaging the other marked all the aspects of the Congress, often with much surprise and to great effect. I found this to be true for each thematic strand of the Congress. To offer just a few examples: sexuality within Ibn Arabi’s writings as mysticism and Jungian; racism directly engaged within institutes, and South African Analysts in dialogue with traditional healers as transformative; affective and embodied work as the necessary treatment of trauma and torture; the paths of the Rosarium in illuminating conversation with the treatment of narcissism.

While not unusual to have a full buffet of offerings that are quite brilliant at an IAAP Congress, in comparison with other Congresses that I have attended, I found that much of the conversation and presentation was “from within,” participatory, rather than an observation of issues. The texture was one of encounter, both in the presentations themselves and in conversations. The felt sense of engagement dramatically impressed me. It seemed like a virtual imperative reflecting the geography of the Congress location.

The closing ceremony was most distinctly participatory. While celebrating our outgoing President and welcoming our new President, the contrast between the average age of the IAAP member, over 70 - my cohort, and the glorious gathering of graduates who had become IAAP members through newly forming Jungian groups and router programs, pleasingly evoked profound openness. For each of us a new experiment: my inner Jung and IAAP happily, distinctly, become less and less Euro-American white, as we make room for the new “other” IAAP.

Vienna itself constellated a participatory attitude, one between the new with its possibilities and the old, the lost, the expunged with their voices. The blank books of the Holocaust Memorial gave voice both to those dead thus made present and to such deadness within myself, perhaps others, This latter reality seeped into the very feelings for me of what it is we do as analyst within therapeutic work, how the dead haunt our processes, longing to be heard, and more specifically how collusion with the privileges of the analytic status quo places us, me, on a spectrum with Vienna’s population that passively tolerated the displacement and
death of “others,” their neighbors. Back in my own geography, one filled with analogous dreadful disjuncts, a deepened sense of a shifting analytic reality continues, with gratitude for the IAAP XXI Congress.

XXI INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS OF ANALYTICAL PSYCHOLOGY. Vienna... August 25th to August 30th!

Amelia Herrera, Chile

This really is a challenge... especially for us, Chileans, who live at the end of the world. This is a major task!

But the proposal is compelling; “Encountering the Other within us, between us and in the world”. And the occasion is without precedents: Chile will be recognized and accepted by IAAP as a Training Society. We are proud of this new status, and it comes to crown the efforts of so many of us and many years of tireless work. Impossible not to go!

The Congress was held at the University of Vienna, a magnificent faculty full of history and wisdom. The fact that the Congress took place at the University and not in a hotel, endowed it an outstanding academic character

From the very first moment it was “an encounter with the Other”. The welcome reception at the Vienna City Hall, a meeting of about one thousand people from all over the world, was astounding. It was fascinating to meet colleagues and friends one had been with in previous congresses. There was a free flow of conversations, and the interest in a common cause – analytical psychology - seemed to obliterate the differences in languages, nationalities and continents. A great Self chaired the group.

It was a rewarding human experience, a most pleasant coexistence among the group of us, Chileans, who shared an airbnb, a close fraternity and friendship with all the Latin-Americans who were there, and interesting and enlightening encounters with Europeans or Eastern colleagues.

It was also an enriching intellectual and academic experience. The morning conferences were all of them of a high academic standard, besides being an invitation and a challenge to continue investigating Jung’s proposals. It was a great help to receive them translated into the different languages of IAAP, modality that could be used for all the presentations in the upcoming congresses.

There were excellent presentations in the afternoons, impossible to attend all of them. So, including them in the pen drive we received has been a great help to become acquainted with most of the issues proposed.

The closure of the Congress was as spectacular as it deserved, The Gala Dinner
provided a fabulous space to appreciate some of Vienna’s richness: architecture,
elegance, beauty, warmth, delicious food,
outstanding wines.

An unforgettable and enriching experi-
ence!

Thank you.

IMPRESSION ON THE
IAAP VIENNA CONGRESS

Cai Chenghou
(CSAP)

To give a great event a headline, “Good friends
gather together, and a galaxy of
distinguished guests from distant places
fill the hall” would be my initial impressi-
on of this Congress. Having come to learn
(and in that way celebrate and continue
my recent certification). I so enjoyed the
program and lectures, the plenaries, and
the breakout sessions. I felt inspired and
fruitfully nourished throughout the week.

Of course, what made me excited most
was getting the certificate of my election
to individual membership in the IAAP.
This time there were six of us from main-
land China who had qualified; the sound
of cheering made us all feel touched,
held, and finally embraced. More than 30
Chinese “Jungians” were able to partici-
pate in this conference, among whom I
would like to mention by name Professor
Liu Dajun, the leading academic scholar
of the I Ching on the mainland.

As a man born in China coming to Euro-
pe for the first time, I was also impressed
by this congress in Vienna offering “Tai
Chi in the morning”. The first day of the
21st IAAP Congress, when we came as
promised to the main garden of Vienna
University, I could see there were already
dozens of Tai Chi followers taking advant-
age of this opportunity. Although they
were from different countries with dif-
ferent religious backgrounds, they were
all grateful practitioners of Chinese Tai
Chi. After paying homage to the Venus of
Willendorf, nearby, as a European image
of the goddess, Professor Shen Heyong
turned around to face everyone to initia-
te the first day’s teaching of Tai Chi, na-
mimg Chinese philosophical images that
were spontaneously asserting themselves
from within him ranging from “Wuji”
to, Taiji (Yin-Yang) to the eight trigrams
and the five elements operative in Chine-
se alchemy.

In the afternoon of August 25, there was a
meeting on “Integrated training of Analy-
tical Psychology and Sandplay Therapy”
hosted by Maria Kendler. Noting Sand-
play’s unique ability to combine openness
to many symbolic images with contain-
ment of an individual’s symbolic process
Professor Shen Heyong, on behalf of the
China Society for Analytical Psychology
and Sandplay Therapy, shared his experi-
ence, shared by many of us, of Sandplay
as a natural way of integration and de-
velopment according to “the divine law
follows nature”. In Vienna, where the di-
vergences between Freud and Jung had
finally led them to become “the other” to
each other, the IAAP and ISST, chose to
emphasize common ground.

At the Meeting of Delegates at this Congress, the Chinese Society of Analytical Psychology (CSAP) was officially approved to be established. Sharing my colleagues’ happiness with this fruit of many years of effort on the part of the IAAP to bring good Jungian training to China, I was moved by my own photograph receiving the IAAP’s certificate on the conference website, which was a very warm welcome not just to me but to the Chinese members of the new Society I was asked to represent here. I am very grateful to have been given the chance to record such unforgettable moments.

The garden ‘Arkadenhof’ in the center of the University where dozens of Tai Chi followers from all over the world practised Chinese Tai Chi in the mornings, and where congress participants enjoyed to rest and talk.
Looking back on the Vienna Congress is a source of great joy to me, and a welcome opportunity to revisit memories of this lovely time. The splendid opening in Vienna’s City Hall provided the setting for welcoming our colleagues who had come from around the world.

What is it that makes Vienna such an attractive place, besides its “Sound of Music” image? Vienna is closely bound up in the history of the development of psychoanalysis, the scene of its beginnings, the city of Freud and Breuer, the location of the legendary Wednesday Society, of the first long conversations between Freud and Jung. But it was also the home of its first critics. Karl Kraus wrote that psychoanalysis was the illness imagining itself to be the cure.

At the time, Vienna also was the centre of artistic avant-garde. Mahler and Schönberg attracted tumultuous scenes, Kokoschka, Klimt, and Schiele disconcerted bourgeois Vienna. But one held one’s ground, continued to meet in the coffee houses and talked to each other. Development seemed possible. But when, in 1913, Schnitzler’s “Professor Bernhardi” was banned, the harbingers of later horror raised their ugly heads, the end of dialogue began to loom; until today, Europe has been unable to shed its shadows.

The most enduring impressions were conversations with colleagues who gave me vivid insights into their families’ painful Viennese past. These encounters challenged me to re-examine my city, our congress city, through the eyes of “the others”.

For the past is alive, and the repercussions of a lack of memory, of missed encounters, and of alienation or of being a stranger are obvious. They are a relevant socio-political factor and preoccupy us far beyond our practices.

I was strongly reminded of a friend’s admonition that in Austria, it was permissible to commemorate, but not to remember.

It is hardly difficult to make connections to psychotherapy here.

Remembering means to approach the past with feeling, in the now, and thus … to allow oneself to be touched in such a way … that it becomes possible to relate to it … and thus to be able to think and act.

Only a living memory, a readiness to feel, may help us to avoid re-staging the old again and again in lifeless repetition. It is dialogue and a shared gaze on “then” and “now”, a gaze that does not spare us the distress that harbours the potential of building bridges.

Therefore, the personal encounters and the professional exchange the congress facilitated formed a vivid counterpoint.

Navid Kermani divests spiritual experiences of the purely spiritual, ties them to the human and lived experience of love,
and thus builds bridges between the East and the West.

Marcus West impressively relates this experience to Jung’s Rosarium and translates it into the language of psychoanalysis.

Don Kalsched not only makes us part of his professional work, but also shares his personal story with us, quoting P. Russel’s “we are in the feeling business” as his most important guideline. Warren Colman invites us to take part in an analytical treatment that tests him, too, to his limits; an important and brave contribution that will follow many of us into our analytic hours and provide a source of strength. So will Jan Wiener’s and Tom Kelly’s important insights into the analytical attitude.

The effort that went into the attempt to arrive at an understanding was particularly evident in the dialogue between traditional medicine and Western psychotherapy in South Africa.

This represents only a very partial impression of a diverse, international, and stimulating programme, a programme that had something to offer to everyone. In particular for our young colleagues—this was emphasized again and again—the experience of feeling themselves part of a large community was empowering.

The award of diplomas to candidates from the developing groups all over the world not only emphasized the international character of the congress, but also its feeling of community and connection.

The evening gala at the Palais Ferstel was a worthy conclusion, and this may be one of Vienna’s distinctive features: in all seriousness one should never forget to celebrate! And so this time, too, the congress danced!

Applause after Daniela Eulert-Fuchs’s plenary lecture on Thursday morning.
The celebration on the Friday of the Congress

The Closing Ceremony was a moving event with most meaningful celebrations. We bring here below a report of the celebration of the new IAAP Honorary Member, Dvora Kutzinski, the celebration of the new Individual Members of the IAAP, thanks to the organisers, and Marianne Müller’s farewell speech. We end the section with some nice pictures from the Gala Dinner Friday evening at the fantastic Palace Ferstel.

HONORARY MEMBER

The Chair of the Honorary Member’s Subcommittee, Margaret Klenck read the biography of Dvora Kutzinski:

Honorary Member Dvora Kutzinski

After the Second World War, having survived the hardships of the concentration camps of Nazi Germany, Dvora Kutzinski found her way to Tel Aviv. There she sought the help of Erich Neumann and eventually went into analysis with him. The work with him, and his wife Julie, was a saving grace and, with time, she became a close friend and collaborator of Erich Neumann. After his death, Dvora Kutzinski carried on Neumann’s work in Israel and introduced Jung’s idea and the principles of Analytical Psychology to the following generations of young Israelis. In recent years, Dvora Kutzinski has travelled to the Developing Groups in the Ukraine and in Romania to work with the routers and members of the DGs. In addition, she has also been involved with the Jungian community in Russia.

Selected comments from her nominators:

“Dvora Kutzinski embodies the spirit of Jungian psychology and is a living symbol of how coming to terms with the darkest collective shadow can lead to a profound and meaningful connection to the life force in the depths of psyche.” (Tom Kelly)

“For more than sixty years Dvora has been
a living example of the humane, responsible, conscientious analyst and a venerable teacher...Very few have succeeded the way Dvora does in translating theory to spoken language, in turning complex psychological riddles into common sense and actual life experiences..."Analytical Psychology saved my life", declares Dvora on frequent occasions and nobody embodies the spirit of Jung’s and Neumann’s psychology better than she does. Dvora continues to be a source of inspiration for therapists (not only for Jungians) in Israel and in many other places by her belief in the power of the psyche, her belief in human nature, and by being a living example of a meaningful connection to life.” (Yehuda Abramovich)

“Dvora is the Grand Old Lady of Analytical Psychology in Israel...Dvora’s contribution has been both in her clinical work and her teaching of Jung and Neumann over more than fifty years. Turning from the ashes and horrors of the Holocaust genocide, to a spirit of genuine life, in a lifetime contribution to Analytical Psychology, she is a unique inspiration on the path toward individuation.” (Erel Shalit)

“Since Neumann’s decease, Dvora undoubtedly became one of the most important and influential links in Israel to the continuity and enhancement of his work. For years she worked tirelessly to bring forth his ideas and writings at conferences, professional meetings and book launches. These and additional professional activities in which she has been involved for many years, have played a crucial role in the development and flourishing of Jungian interest and practice in Israel.” (Gadi Maoz)

“In Israel she is considered the Grande Old Dame of Jungian psychology and the inheritor of Erich Neumann’s legacy. She is a remarkable woman in her own right and still vital at the age of 90. I support her honorary membership in the IAAP with enthusiasm. It will be an honor to us to have her in our Association.” (Murray Stein)

When asked what she would like members to know about her, Dvora Kutzinski wrote the following:

I lived with my parents and my brother in Prague (in a flat that belonged before us to Franz Kafka and his family) until the beginning of 1942, then in the ghetto of Theresienstadt, then Auschwitz and Kz Oederan near Dresden.
I returned to Prague at the end of the war, went in 1946 to then Palestine and
met Erich Neumann in 1948.

My life developed between this poles: Auschwitz and the murder of my whole family and 18 years of analysis and supervision with Julie and Erich Neumann, for which I am eternally grateful. I am now working for 64 years in private praxis and hope to go on till the end.

The whole ceremony, incl. the speech, is filed and placed on YouTube and can be reached by the following link:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9uFetscPRdk

and if this does not work, you may just google: Dvora ceremony and open the YouTube when the link appears.

After having read the nomination, Dvora Kutzinski received the Honorary Member stone, and she gave a wonderful speech of thanks.

The new Honorary Member of the IAAP, Dvora Kutzinski
The celebration of the 67 new Individual Members was a moving and unforgettable moment.

Applauded by the audience, each Individual Member was called up at the stage to receive the IAAP Diploma as an IAAP Jungian Analyst.
THANKS TO THE LOCAL ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Representatives from the local Organising Committee, Co-Chaired by Åsa Liljenroth-Denk and Petra Denk, were - together with their helpers - cordially thanked by Marianne Müller. The two Co-Chairs, together with the President of ÖGAP, Eleonore Armster, also said some words of thanks.
FAREWELL ADDRESS
Marianne Müller, President IAAP

Dear Colleagues,

I look back at my three years as president, but at the same time also at 15 years of being active within the IAAP. This is a long time, and yet: for me the last three years are unthinkable without the years before. It was only through the various functions within the IAAP that I acquired a true understanding of the complexity and extensive range of activities of the organisation. Likewise, over time I understood even better how significant the IAAP is for Analytical Psychology with all its duties. It has been my endeavour to accomplish these to the best of my ability. During the last three years we succeeded in introducing some innovations in the IAAP and in initiating various projects. We have developed many projects further and let behind some of what was outdated.

All this has only been possible together with a well-functioning team. It includes the Executive Committee as well as the other permanent committees within the IAAP, the Ethics Committee, the Programme Committee and Organising Committee for the Congress, the Training Committee and all those members, who contributed within the context of training or a Working Party. I would like to sincerely thank my colleagues for their support and the good and inspiring cooperation. It will not be possible to mention them all by name. I want to state, however: what has been most important to me has always been the people with whom I have had the privilege to work all over the world and to get to know them.

I feel very honoured that I was given the opportunity to take on all the tasks within the IAAP and finally the presidency. Here I could make good use of my experience of life thus far, but I have also had the chance to familiarise myself with much that was new. I am of the same age as the IAAP, having been born in 1955. Hence, I am fully aware that the IAAP looks back at a longer history, which others have shaped and for which they accepted responsibility. Some years ago, we relocated the archive of the IAAP. In this context, but also in connection with the preparations for the most recent revision of the constitution, I became even more aware of the various stages of this development. The IAAP was founded by representatives of three societies and around twenty analysts. Today, with the decisions of Wednesday’s Meeting of Delegates included, we have 72 Group Members and 153 Individual Members, of which the majority will join existing groups. All together we are today about 3480 Jungian Analysts worldwide. The world of 1955 was indeed quite different. Today we face new challenges – I will return to this. And yet, the basic concept and underlying values of the IAAP have not changed very much, as the constitution demonstrates. I am thinking of the idea of a federal structure, which, while granting much autonomy to the individual groups, demands a democratic structure as a central requirement. Likewise, the aims of the IAAP remained unchanged: These are the promotion of Analytical Psychology, the maintenance of high standards of training and practice and the organisation of congresses.

It is with gratitude that I think of my predecessors; they provided the essential ingredients so that today the IAAP is in many ways in a good and solid position. Many conceptions became integral and proven parts of its structure.
These are, for example, the organisation of the Executive Committee, which is divided into individually elected Officers and the representatives of Group Members; furthermore the clearly stated limitations of the terms of Officers, the introduction of standing Committees, but also the sharing out of responsibilities of the Executive Committee to Sub-Committees and Working Parties. Newly introduced in recent years was the function of the Finance Officer, which during the present and previous Administrations was performed by the President-elect. The implementation of this important task undoubtedly contributed to a better control over the IAAP finances and constitutes an excellent preparation for the presidency.

Over the past three years further modifications were added, such as the Diversity Working Party, the expanded structuring of the Education Committee, IAAP funded research projects and surveys as well as the organisation and implementation of joint Conference IAAP/University.

It is the central task of the IAAP, apart from cultivation and promotion of Analytical Psychology, to provide worldwide cohesion and exchange among analysts. A professional association, and particularly an international one, has a duty of integration and inclusion of all its members. This is especially true for the IAAP. This has always been a particular concern of mine. Analytical Psychology faces today major challenges worldwide. Among them are the aging of the member societies in many countries of Europe and North America, structural changes of legislation and the conception of training. On the other side, however, and this is very pleasing, there is great interest in Analytical Psychology and its expansion in the so-called new countries, such as those in Eastern Europe, Latin America and Asia. Here new groups are forming and an ever growing number of them offer their own training. It falls to the IAAP to accompany both, old and new groups, to support them and to promote contacts between them. During the congress we could once again clearly experience the importance of this mutual exchange.

It is true: the IAAP advocated training in these new countries and reacted with offers to their needs and requests for teaching. This developed into a great commitment within the IAAP - one of the forward-looking projects involving Developing Groups and the Router Programme. This was only possible, however, through the support by members from already existing IAAP Group Members, mostly from Group Members which have had a long tradition of training. During my many years within the IAAP I could gain a comprehensive insight into this project. I am convinced of its great significance for Analytical Psychology and for the IAAP. We invested much energy, time and money, and every IAAP member contributed, so that we could and still can implement it. This is a forward-looking project, but already now we see the returns. This is apparent in the large number of trained analysts and of new groups all over the world; some of them already offer their own training. Nevertheless, it has been a concern for this Administration as well, to manage the great demand for training in the context of the Router Programme judiciously and carefully. Likewise, we endeavoured to implement improvements, adjustments and renewals. It is not our ambition to train as many analysts as possible, but to configure training as responsibly and carefully as possible.

In a similar vein, the IAAP is often directly involved in the formation of new Group Members. The transition from Individual to Group Membership can be, as we have seen, a difficult process: it raises many questions and gives rise to uncertainties. It therefore has become increasingly important to support groups in this formation process. As President and Chair of the Consultation and Mediation Service, I tried to accompany groups during this phase. It demonstrated to me once again how in many cases the process of group formation can be complex and demanding. Old, lingering conflicts might be reactivated, or the cooperation within a democratic framework must first be practiced. Likewise, existing groups are subject to permanent change and they must face their internal conflicts. The IAAP offers its useful services of mediation here too, if this is requested and the IAAP is invited for this purpose. Over the past three years we have received rather frequent demands for this service, to which we have been happy to respond.
I want to refrain from listing in detail all the business of the IAAP here once again as this has already happened during the Meeting of Delegates. Rather, it is important for me to place the activities and innovations of this Administration into a wider context.

We started a new project with the series ‘Joint Conferences IAAP/University’. The underlying idea of the project, its meaning, purpose and objective, is to make Analytical Psychology visible at the universities, to be in an exchange with the academic world and scholarship and to make students aware of Analytical Psychology. We want to promote Analytical Psychology as a scholarly subject, entirely in the spirit of C.G. Jung. We are sure that without this reference to the wider academic scholarship, Analytical Psychology will hardly have a future. Two of these conferences have already taken place, one at the University of Vilnius in collaboration with the local professor and Jungian Analyst Grazina Gudaite and a second one at the University of Basel in cooperation with Prof Christian Roesler of the University of Basel and Prof Harald Atmanspacher of the University of Zurich. Further conferences are envisaged and already at a concrete stage of planning. Is it not interesting and highly gratifying that especially in the newer groups in Latin America, Eastern Europe, but also in Asia several of our colleagues already work at universities and build these bridges?

Within the IAAP we have initiated, implemented and financed two research projects. During this congress we could present both of them to you. One was a large-scale survey among IAAP members, which Pilar Amezaga carried out in Montevideo together with a team of social scientists. Almost 50% of our members participated. This is an incredibly high rate of participation. Once again, a heartfelt thank-you to all contributors. The survey provides us with valuable insights into the activities and the training within our international societies and gives us indications for future actions. The results of the survey can be viewed on the IAAP website.

A further research project was developed by Grazina Gudaite and her team in Vilnius. The topic was ‘Understanding Core Competencies in Jungian Psychoanalysis: Narratives of Becoming an Analyst in the Post-Soviet Region’. Analysts and trainees were questioned as to what in their opinion were the most important competencies of an analyst. This is a research project from our Education Committee. It relates to the situation of Analytical Psychology in Eastern Europe, but it is of equal significance for the whole of the association.

The Executive Committee decided to hand a printed version of the Newsletter and the Members’ list to every member over these three years as well. In today’s digital world the purpose of such publications must be re-examined continually. We hope that our decision can contribute to the formation of a sense of identity and the shared perception of a community. I would like to thank Emilija Kiehl again for her huge and most careful work in editing the Newsletter and the electronic Newsletter.

During this Administration the IAAP has been approached with several social and political questions. The demand has been to become engaged and to take on responsibility for their handling. Personally, I think indeed that Analytical Psychology is downright obliged to get engaged with general questions, concerning our time. We must consider and analyse socio-political phenomena and social problems or their effects on the individual from a psychological perspective. Analytical Psychology is particularly called upon as it has recourse to resources of insights, which go way beyond mere science and also surpass simple social sciences but touch on fundamental questions of religion and philosophy, as Navid Kermani demonstrated in his paper. - Of equal importance as theory and analysis, however, are concrete projects which offer practical psychological support as a response to shortcomings of our time, to catastrophes and to needs of people. I think we must face our responsibility in these questions as well. We can contribute considerably to finding answers or to a solution. And we know from Jung: Individuation does not exclude the world but includes it.

What does it mean for the IAAP, however, if the demand is made, to take action, to take a stand and if this is associated with very concrete re-
quests? During this Administration we have had reason to deal with this situation intensively. The first major issue was about the question why we have so few analysts of colour in our association and whether this is linked to the writings of C.G. Jung.

After thorough discussion in the Executive Committee, after consulting our members and after holding a symposium during which the various points of view around the topic were discussed, it was evident to us: the reasoning and opinions regarding this subject are widely divergent within the IAAP. The Executive Committee therefore decided: the IAAP cannot publish a statement which the worldwide community of analytical psychologists received and debated in such a controversial manner. For one, such a proposal would hardly find a majority with the delegates. Secondly, the IAAP as an international society cannot adopt the concerns of an interest group and make it their own. This could jeopardize the cohesion of the whole community and finally result in its weakening. As I said at the beginning, it is a central task of a professional organisations such as ours to care for the inner cohesion. This seems to me particularly important in this our time as presently so much in our world is at risk of falling apart. The IAAP shall and will therefore provide a roof and an internal forum for discussion within the Jungian community to all groups and to all its members.

This does not at all mean, however, that topical disputes should not be possible. On the contrary, they do need to take place if Analytical Psychology wants to remain renewed and alive. It was our concern for the symposium, which was held in Prague in November 2017 to have a broad-based discussion of the topic. Controversial opinions could be expressed; a process of rapprochement and mutual understanding was at least partially possible. I think that in future as well, the IAAP, if necessary, could in this way provide a frame for a controversial but constructive confrontation with difficult issues. With regard to the protection of minorities, it will be the task of the newly instated Diversity Working Party to pick up upcoming questions within the IAAP and to facilitate further discussion. The IAAP very much welcomes and depends on conversations and discussions being held in individual groups and regions, where it is easier to establish the immediate connection with the local situation, their historical contexts and cultural customs. Our colleagues from South Africa have shown this so clearly and impressively in their presentation this morning.

The other major issue, which was brought to the IAAP for consideration, is climate protection. Undoubtedly, this is a central topic of our time. It merits, yes it demands our attention. However, the question arises again if it can be the duty of an international professional organisation to take, so to speak, responsibility for the CO2 emission of its members. Is it really the moral duty of the IAAP to tell members and participants of a congress that they have to pay for their ‘ecological footprint’ and how much? We started to talk about this during the Meeting of Delegates. Here we are dealing with the fundamental question, with what the IAAP should engage itself directly and explicitly, including the provision of funds. The discussion of this subject will continue within the IAAP and the Executive Committee. We will see in the near future to what extent governments and perhaps airlines will raise levies. This would affect the IAAP as well. After all, it is not just about the CO2 load resulting from flying, but also from other areas of daily living, such as heating, driving a car, food, consumption generally and much more. Personally, I hope that everybody will take responsibility for their action individually, but also that state regulations will take effect. I am afraid the IAAP would otherwise have to spend much money and energy on this topic and the real ‘business’, i.e. Analytical Psychology, would miss out. I hope that for a long time to come, we will hold international conferences, during which we can meet in person for mutual exchange, such as here in Vienna. A community lives on personal conversations and contacts across the most varied borders and in this sense on the encounter with the other. To enable this is one of the tasks of the IAAP.

Before coming to the end, I would like to thank once again all those many people, who over the past three years helped to shape the IAAP. They all contributed greatly to our community.
I have already thanked the local Organising Committee for the Congress here in Vienna.

I also must and want to mention Selma and Daniel Gubser, our secretary and our accountant in Switzerland. Both supported us greatly during this Administration as well. Selma has taken on more and more tasks and she has been extremely conscientious, competent and swift in everything. She contributed a lot to the preparation of this Congress, and we are very grateful for that. Martin Amsler, the long-standing treasurer and solicitor of the IAAP is about to retire. We would like to thank him warmly for his competent and helpful support over these many years.

Likewise, I would like to thank the Executive Committee once more for the exceptionally good cooperation. For me it was a special experience to witness over the course of the past three years, how it has grown into a team, capable of leading difficult discussions constructively and of tolerating divergence with the aim of finally arriving at a solution. Much trust and friendship has grown among members of the Executive Committee and I am delighted about this.

A big thank-you goes out to my fellow Officers, with whom I worked closely over the past three years: Martin Schmidt was an articulate, humorous, hard-working and conscientious Honorary Secretary. George Hogenson, as the Chair of the Programme Committee, put all his energy into the implementation of this wonderful programme. Misser Berg demonstrated once again her extraordinary organisational skills and she was in many areas a great support to me. I had regular exchanges with Toshio Kawai. Together we discussed many questions of principle, but also very concrete issues – in Swiss German in fact. His impulses and suggestions have always been helpful and further-reaching.

I would also like to thank my husband, Hans Witschi Müller, who not only tolerated my frequent absences, all be it with a sigh, but who assisted, supported and encouraged me in all my activities.

I wish the IAAP all the best for the future, many active members, good cohesion and a lively exchange about topical questions of Analytical Psychology. I now hand over the presidency to Toshio Kawai with positive feelings. Toshio is ideally prepared for his new task. He knows the most diverse areas of our IAAP and hence guarantees continuity. At the same time, based on his life experience in the ancient and rich culture of Japan, but also in the scientific field, he will provide the IAAP with new ideas and emphases.

I thank you all,

Marianne Müller
IAAP President
THE GALA DINNER AT PALAIS FERSTEL

Friday evening the Congress participants enjoyed the Gala Dinner at the beautiful 19th Century Viennese Palais, located in the center of the city.
SANDPLAY FOR HOMELESS ORPHANED CHILDREN IN UGANDA

At the end of October - beginning of November this autumn in Kabale, Uganda, Jungian analyst Lisbet Myers Zacho, accompanied by 4 other sandplay therapists, all from Denmark, held an intensive 4-day course on the facilitation of sandplay-processes, theory and practice, for the local social workers, pedagogues and priests, belonging to the non-profit organisation, KASA. (www.kasaprogramme.org)

During the last days of their trip the Danish sandplay therapists worked with 32 children in KASA.

The trip was funded by private donations and by the five sandplay therapists themselves. They plan to raise further funds and go to Kabala, Uganda again.

Lisbet Myers Zacho writes about the visit in Uganda:  
*We were in Kabale from Oct. 27 to Nov. 5 and it was a very moving experience. Especially the meeting with the human survival instinct and the healing potential of creativity. Children and adults, all of whom had retained a positive open-mindedness and spark of life, despite an endless list of loss, failure, abuse and incredible poverty, went to the sand with remarkable readiness.*

The staff has let us know that they have now started working additionally in the sand with elderly traumatized people. And in that, they are ahead of us.

The staff at KASA intend to be pioneers of sandplay practice in Uganda as they can see - and themselves noticed - how relieving it works.

*It was a really good experience. In fact fantastic! Sand and symbolisation spoke very directly and concretely into their world.*
On the following pages the upcoming conferences (so far) in 2020 will be presented. They represent a pleasant variety of subject and geography.

All conferences can be found on the IAAP website: https://iaap.org at the 'Conferences’ dropdown, and with this direct link:

**https://iaap.org/conferences/upcoming-conferences/**

Here is an overview:

**AAPR, XX International Jungian Conference, January 24 - 26, 2020, St. Petersburg, Russia**

**IAJS International Conference, April 2 - 5, 2020, Pittsburg, USA**

**Jung’s Red Book for Our Time, April 23 - 26, 2020, Eranos, Ascona, Switzerland**

**The Conference on Film and Analytical Psychology, May 22 – 24, 2020, Belgrade, Serbia**

**ISPDI – 5th International Conference, July 9 - 11, 2020, Lisbon, Portugal**

**JAP conference, July 30 - August 2, 2020, São Paulo, Brazil**

**The Second International Conference of the FEGAP, July 31 - August 2, 2020, Tallinn, Estonia**

**Rencontre des Analystes jungiens francophones, Les 9, 10 et 11 octobre 2020, Lausanne, Suisse**

**Analysis and Activism IV, October 15 - 18, 2020, San Francisco, USA**
XX INTERNATIONAL JUNGIAN CONFERENCE
«JUNGIAN IDENTITY»
January 24 – 26, 2020
on-line participation is possible
www.psyseminar.ru/en

East-European Institute of Psychoanalysis, St. Petersburg, Bolshoy pr., 18-A
The triennial IAJS International Conference will be held in spring of 2020 at the renowned Duquesne University in Pittsburgh, PA, USA.
Through our various disciplines, we shall explore Jung’s understanding of psychology as an indigenously human science, based on interpretative and descriptive methods, extending outwards into the humanities, on one hand, and the natural sciences on the other. We shall explore these methodological issues and the theorizing that emerges accordingly. We shall also explore the shared assumptions that human beings are existentially embedded, self-conscious, and ambiguously continuous and discontinuous with animal life.
Presentation
We live in a historical phase of global volatility and deep uncertainty about the future. Most probably, this uneasy feeling will linger on for years to come. We do not understand sufficiently the global transformation process we have entered into beginning many years ago, as already registered and reflected by C. G. Jung in the early 20th Century in The Red Book. When Jung embarked on his inner journey of soul searching, he found himself in similarly volatile times. Turning inward, he created a work that gave his life a sense of meaning and direction with broad cultural significance. Today, The Red Book has enormous potential for becoming an epochal opus for a world living in the postmodern condition of the 21st century, offering us guidance in our present turbulent and uncertain times.

Program Committee
Thomas Arzt · Joseph Cambray · Murray Stein · Riccardo Bernardini

Registration
Please note that the Conference has limited places. For this reason, advanced registration is required, by email, info@eranosfoundation.org, or by phone, at +41 (0) 91 792 20 92.

Language
The lectures will be in English language.
About the Conference
The Conference ‘Film and Analytical Psychology’ aims to provide a mental space for
film and analytical psychology to meet each other. The concept of the conference could
be called ‘film in the language of analytical psychology’, but without the risk of losing
even a small part of its meaning, it could be the reverse, ‘analytical psychology in the
language of film’. Please read more on the website http://www.fapconference.com/

The Conference is primarily dedicated to IAAP routers and trainees.

We want to provide an inspiring field for meeting, sharing and dialogue, through which
colleagues who are on the path to becoming analysts, will be empowered to explore
and understanding images and their symbolic meaning. We would also like to welcome
all analysts, psychotherapists and film professionals interested in the topic of film and
analytical psychology.

http://www.fapconference.com/
ISPDI – 5th International Conference

The Soul’s Logical Life

The ISPDI invites you to its 5th International Conference in Lisbon, Portugal July 9-11, 2020, In the heart of beautiful historic Lisbon Hotel Lisboa Plaza, Liberdade 1269-066

Keynote Address: George Hogenson
Special Guest Speakers: Philip Kime, Nanae Takenaka, Andrés Ocazionez

“…to prepare the way for a rigorous notion of psychology…”

For more information and to register please visit: ispdi.org

The International Society for Psychology as the Discipline of Interiority

https://ispdi.org/
Civilisation in Transition: Clinical, Social and Academic Perspectives

In our first conference in South America we would like to offer as broad, imaginative and topical focus of inspiration for contributions as possible.

Around the globe we are witnessing turbulent political and social changes that raise questions and concerns about the underlying psychological and social forces. We have therefore chosen the title of Volume 10 of Jung’s Collected Works, ‘Civilization in Transition’, as the theme of our conference as it captures something of the feeling of the times in which we find ourselves.

Please read more on https://thejap.org/sao-paulo-2020

The conference will be held in the Maksoud Plaza Hotel, São Paulo, www.maksoud.com.br

The conference is organised by the JAP in collaboration with la Sociedade Brasileira de Psicologia Analítica (SBrPA), la Associação Junguiana do Brasil (AJB), & la Comisión Latino Americana de Psicologia Analítica (CLAPA).

The Journal of Analytical Psychology

https://thejap.org/sao-paulo-2020
Second International Conference of the FEGAP

The Finnish-Estonian Group for Analytical Psychology invites you to the conference

“Parallel Realities: Living in Different Realms”

July 31st-August 2nd, 2020
Tallinn, Estonia

Keynote speaker of the conference: Warren Colman
The Conference is intended to Jungian analysts, trainees, students, psychotherapists, and to everyone interested.

We kindly invite contributors to submit proposals for academic papers and for workshops as well. Proposals of no more than 500 words should be sent in English to Giorgio Tricarico (giotrica@gmail.com) and Kaj Noschis (kaj.noschis@gmail.com) within FEBRUARY 1st, 2020.

http://www.fegap.org/summer-conference/summer-conference-2020
Rencontre des Analystes jungiens francophones
Les 9, 10 et 11 octobre 2020
Lausanne, Suisse

L’ANIMALITE DANS L’ÊTRE HUMAIN
« Comment vous serait-il possible de comprendre qui vous êtes, si vous ne prenez pas conscience de l’animal, en vous ? »
C.G. Jung : L’Analyse des visions. Le séminaire de 1930 – 1934

L’Antenne Romande du C.G. Jung-Institut Zürich a le plaisir de vous convier à une Rencontre des analystes jungiens francophones. En réactivant un événement qui n’a plus eu lieu depuis longtemps, nous espérons vous accueillir à Lausanne en octobre prochain !

La publication récente du Séminaire des visions en français a inspiré le thème de la Rencontre. Dans le Livre Rouge ainsi que dans l’Analyse des visions, ce que Jung dit des animaux nous interpelle. À la dimension intrapsychique et symbolique s’ajoutent les aspects éthiques du respect de l’animal et de l’importance du vivre ensemble entre humains et animaux notamment pour le processus d’individuation. C’est l’animal « dedans et dehors », et l’enchevêtrement des deux, que nous allons questionner, en nous référant aux textes de Jung et à nos expériences cliniques.

Par cette Rencontre nous souhaitons créer un cadre favorisant des échanges et des partages entre collègues francophones. Outre trois conférences principales et une table ronde, des groupes de travail, divers ateliers thématiques et des moments de discussion en plénière vont rythmer la Rencontre.

Pour plus d’informations et de détails ainsi que le formulaire d’inscription :

https://www.jungantenneromande.ch/rencontre-francophone
Are geopolitics worse in our time than in previous times? It certainly feels to many that this is the case and that things are getting more fragmented, partisan, elitist and founded on illusion than before. The relationship between power and its inequities and the hope of democracy is fraught with difficulties and anxieties. This conference brings together two important strands of the evolving relationship between Jungian ideas and political and social issues. First, the C.G. Jung Institute of San Francisco has presented conferences on the presidency every four years since 2000 at the time of national elections. The 2020 conference will be held just a few weeks before the election. The second project is the groundbreaking series of Analysis and Activism conferences organized with the support of the International Association for Analytical Psychology. This conference will be the fourth of its kind, following previous events in London, Rome and Prague.

For early bird registration and price information based on geographical tiers, please visit: http://bit.ly/IAAPAA

Clinicians eligible for CEUs certified by CEPA or CMA, please visit: http://bit.ly/learningexpressIAAPAA to register.

If you wish to register for the livestream and do not plan to travel to Berkeley, CA please visit: http://bit.ly/IAAPAAlivestream

Early bird pricing ends on 30 June, 2020